

THE TAX COURT SCAM

Last revised: 2/12/2012



COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This document is a restricted access form originally made available at:

<https://sedm.org/shop/the-tax-court-scam-form-05-039/>

The Copyright/Software/License agreement protecting this form is found at:

SEDM Disclaimer/License Agreement https://sedm.org/disclaimer.htm
--

It is a violation of the copyright to post this form on any PDF sharing site, including but not limited to:

1. Scribd: <http://Scribd.com>
2. Google Books: <https://books.google.com/>
3. Google Play: <https://play.google.com/>
4. Amazon Kindle: <https://www.amazon.com/b/?ie=UTF8&node=283155>
5. LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/>
6. Wordpress blogs: <http://wordpress.com>
7. Privately owned websites.
8. Wordpress sites of any kind.

It is also a violation of the copyright to email to or share this document with anyone who did not obtain it directly from the above link.

This page shall serve as legal notice to any site hosting this document in violation of the copyright to take down the document under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) and to prosecute the poster for violations of said act.

There is ONE exception to the above list at this time, which is Makefreedom.com may publish our books in printed form as listed at:

1. Physical Books
<https://sedm.org/category/books/>
2. DMCA/Copyright Page, Section 13
<https://sedm.org/footer/digital-millennium-copyright-act-dmca-policy/>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COPYRIGHT NOTICE	2
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	3
1 Introduction	9
2 Legislative Franchise Courts	9
2.1 Franchise (property) courts generally	9
2.2 Liberty and freedom are impossible if franchise courts hear disputes against private citizens.....	11
2.3 Franchise courts are only for disputes WITHIN governments and not with private citizens	13
2.4 Tax Court: Article I Franchise Court	17
2.5 District Court: Article IV Franchise Court	19
3 Congress Cannot by Legislation Force Those Who are Not Franchisees Into a Franchise Court	21
4 Why it is ILLEGAL for the Tax Court to Hear A Case involving a “nontaxpayer”	23
5 Why it is ILLEGAL for the Tax Court to enforce Subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code against persons domiciled outside of federal territory or in a state of the Union	27
6 Conclusions and summary	31
7 Further Reading and Research	37
8 Questions that Readers, Grand Jurors, and Petit Jurors Should Be Asking the Government	37
8.1 Admissions	37
8.2 Interrogatories.....	46

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Constitutional Provisions

Annotated Constitution, Year 2002, p. 640.....	18
Art. I, § 8, cl. 17	18
Art. I, Sec, 10	25
Article 1, Section 10.....	36
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17	28
Article 1, Section 9, Clause 3	38
Article 4, Section 3, Clause 2	20, 30, 33, 41
Article I	14, 17, 21, 32
Article II, §2	19
Article III.....	9, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22, 33, 40, 41
Article IV	21, 40, 41
Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2	41
Articles I, and IV	46
Fifth Amendment	23
Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments	34
First Amendment.....	35
Thirteenth Amendment.....	23, 31, 34, 42
U.S.C.A. Const. art. 3, sec. 2.....	20
U.S.Const. Art. I, Sect 9, Cl. 3	25

Statutes

1 U.S.C. §204	47
18 U.S.C. §§201, 208	14, 16
18 U.S.C. §1583	26
18 U.S.C. §1589(3)	23
18 U.S.C. §1593	23
18 U.S.C. §1865(b)(1).....	16
18 U.S.C. §201 and 208	16
18 U.S.C. §201, 208	17
18 U.S.C. §297	17
18 U.S.C. §654	35
18 U.S.C. §912	10
18 U.S.C.A. §687	22
26 U.S.C. §1313	32, 40
26 U.S.C. §162	31
26 U.S.C. §6041(a).....	31
26 U.S.C. §6651	25
26 U.S.C. §7408(d)	30
26 U.S.C. §7426	25, 33, 40
26 U.S.C. §7441	17, 25, 32, 38
26 U.S.C. §7443(e).....	18
26 U.S.C. §7601	30
26 U.S.C. §7621	30
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(12)(B).....	30
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(14)	32, 37, 40, 46
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(26)	25, 26, 28, 31, 32, 36, 39
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(30)	32, 34
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(39).....	30
26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10)	23, 29, 30, 31, 33, 44, 45
26 U.S.C. §7701(b)(1)(A)	34, 39
26 U.S.C. §7701(b)(1)(B).....	39
26 U.S.C. §7701(b)(4).....	16
26 U.S.C. §7701(b)(4)(B).....	32
26 U.S.C. §871	33
26 U.S.C. §911	31, 32, 43
26 U.S.C. §911(d)(3).....	31, 32, 43, 44
28 U.S.C. § 2201	46
28 U.S.C. §§144 and 455	16
28 U.S.C. §§144, 455	17
28 U.S.C. §1332(e).....	20, 41
28 U.S.C. §1603(b)(3).....	11
28 U.S.C. §1605	11
28 U.S.C. §171	19
28 U.S.C. §1865	16
28 U.S.C. §1865(b)	16
28 U.S.C. §3002(15)(A).....	35
28 U.S.C. §453	10
4 U.S.C. §110(d)	20
4 U.S.C. §72	10, 13, 17, 28, 31, 32
40 U.S.C. §3112	27
42 U.S.C. §1983	23
42 U.S.C. §1994	23, 26
42 U.S.C.A. §1983	23
44 U.S.C. §1508	29
48 U.S.C. §1612	28
5 U.S.C. §553(a).....	29
5 U.S.C. §553(a)(1).....	23, 29

5 U.S.C. §553(a)(2).....	23, 29
8 U.S.C. §1101(a)(21).....	32, 34
8 U.S.C. §1401.....	32, 34
8 U.S.C. §1452.....	34
Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. §553(a).....	29
California Civil Code, Section 1589.....	11
Declaratory Judgments Act, 28 U.S.C. §2201.....	45
Declaratory Judgments Act, 28 U.S.C. §2201(a).....	24
Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.....	37
Federal Register Act, 44 U.S.C. §1505(a).....	29
Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. §1603.....	35
I.R.C. Section 1.....	31
I.R.C. Subtitle A.....	31, 33, 34, 36, 44, 45
I.R.C. Subtitles A and C.....	22
Internal Revenue Code.....	24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 47
Internal Revenue Code of 1939, Section 4, 53 Stat. 1.....	47
Internal Revenue Code of 1986, Section 7428.....	24, 37, 46
Internal Revenue Code Subtitle A.....	33, 39, 43
Judicial Code of 1940, Section 1, pp. 2453-2454, Exhibit 3.....	15
Pub. L. 97-164.....	19
Statutes At Large.....	22, 33, 40
Tax Reform Act of 1969.....	39
U.C.C. §9-307.....	27

Regulations

26 C.F.R. §1.1-1(a)(2)(ii).....	32, 39
26 C.F.R. §1.1441-1(c)(3).....	32
26 C.F.R. §1.871-2.....	44
26 C.F.R. §301.6109-1(d)(3).....	32
26 C.F.R. §601.702.....	29
Federal Register.....	29
Treasury Regulations.....	37, 47

Rules

Fed.R. Civil P.4.....	44
Fed.R.Crim.P. 43.....	10, 16
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(b)(6).....	37
Tax Court Rule 13.....	passim
Tax Court Rule 13(a)(1).....	24
United States Tax Court Rules.....	37

Cases

Ashton v. Cameron County Water Improvement District No. 1, 298 U.S. 513, 56 S.Ct. 892 (1936).....	21, 27
Ashwander v. T.V.A., 297 U.S. 288, 346, 56 S. Ct. 466, 482, 80 L.Ed. 688, (1938).....	26
Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Comm'n, 430 U.S. 442, 450, n. 7, 97 S.Ct. 1261, 1266, n. 7, 51 L.Ed.2d 464 (1977).....	21, 42
Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Comm'n, 430 U.S., at 455, n. 13, 97 S.Ct., at 1269, n. 13 ..	21, 42
Augustus Co., for Use of Bourgeois v. Manzella, 19 N.J.Misc. 29, 17 A.2d 68, 70.....	44
Baker v. Grice, 169 U.S. 284, 290, 42 S. L.Ed. 748, 750, 18 Sup. Ct. Rep. 323.....	29
Balzac v. Porto Rico, 258 U.S. 298 at 312, 42 S.Ct. 343, 66 L.Ed. 627 (1921).....	20, 40
Barney v. Baltimore, 6 Wall. 280, 18 L.Ed. 825.....	42
Botta v. Scanlon, 288 F.2d. 504, 508 (1961).....	24, 36

Broadrick v. Oklahoma, 413 U.S. 601, 616 -617 (1973).....	13, 26
Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S., at 122, 96 S.Ct., at 683.....	21, 43
Burgin v. Forbes, 293 Ky. 456, 169 S.W.2d 321, 325	28, 33, 41
C.I.R. v. Trustees of L. Inv. Ass'n, 100 F.2d 18 (1939)	25, 36
Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238, 56 S.Ct. 855 (1936)	21, 27, 30
Cereghino v. State By and Through State Highway Commission, 230 Or. 439, 370 P.2d 694, 697	33
City of Boerne v. Florez, Archbishop of San Antonio, 521 U.S. 507 (1997).....	26
Civil Service Comm'n v. Letter Carriers, 413 U.S. 548, 556 (1973).....	13, 26
Clyatt v. U.S., 197 U.S. 207 (1905)	35
Connick v. Myers, 461 U.S. 138, 147 (1983).....	13, 26
Cook v. Hart, 146 U.S. 183, 194 , 36 S. L.Ed. 934, 939, 13 Sup. Ct. Rep. 40	29
Cook v. Tait, 265 U.S. 47 (1924)	39
Court in Estelle v. Williams, 425 U.S. 501 (1976).....	11
Crowell v. Benson, supra, 285 U.S., at 50-51, 52 S.Ct., at 292.....	21
Crowell v. Benson, supra, 285 U.S., at 50-51, 52 S.Ct., at 292.....	42
Delo v. Lashely, 507 U.S. 272 (1993).....	11
Downes v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244 (1901).....	39, 42
Economy Plumbing & Heating v. U.S., 470 F.2d. 585 (1972).....	24, 33
Ex parte Bakelite Corp., supra, at 451, 49 S.Ct., at 413	21
Ex parte Fonda, 117 U.S. 516, 518 , 29 S. L.Ed. 994, 6 Sup. Ct. Rep. 848	28
Ex parte Royall, 117 U.S. 241, 250 , 29 S. L.Ed. 868, 871, 6 Sup. Ct. Rep. 734	28
Fitts v. McGhee, 172 U.S. 516, 533 , 43 S. L.Ed. 535, 543, 19 Sup. Ct. Rep. 269	29
Fong Yu Ting v. United States, 149 U.S. 698 (1893)	35, 45
Fowler v. Fowler, 156 Fla. 316, 22 So.2d 817, 818	43
Freytag v. Commissioner, 501 U.S. 868 (1991).....	12, 18, 19
Fulton Light, Heat & Power Co. v. State, 65 Misc.Rep. 263, 121 N.Y.S. 536.....	33
Gardner v. Broderick, 392 U.S. 273, 277 -278 (1968).....	26
Gardner v. Broderick, 392 U.S. 273, 277 -278 (1968)	13
Glidden Co. v. Zdanok, 370 U.S., at 548-549, and n. 21, 82 S.Ct., at 1471-1472, and n. 21	21, 42
Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251, 275 , 38 S.Ct. 529, 3 A.L.R. 649, Ann.Cas.1918E 724	27, 30
Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. United States, 379 U.S. 241 (1964).....	26
Heiner v. Donnan, 285 U.S. 312 (1932).....	34
Heiner v. Donnan, 285 U.S. 312, 52 S.Ct. 358, 76 L.Ed. 772 (1932)	26, 34
Hepburn v. Ellzey, 2 Cranch, 445, 2 L.Ed. 332.....	42
Hooper v. Tax Comm'n, 284 U.S. 206, 52 S.Ct. 120, 76 L.Ed. 248 (1931)	26, 34
Hooe v. Jamieson, 166 U.S. 395 , 41 L.Ed. 1049, 17 Sup. Ct. Rep. 596.....	42
Hubbard v. Ammerman, 465 F.2d 1169 (5th Cir. 1972)	20
Hughes v. United States, 953 F.2d 531, 536-537 (9th Cir. 1991)	24, 37, 46
Humphrey's Executor v. United States, 295 U.S. 602 (1935)	19
Iasigi v. Van De Carr, 166 U.S. 391, 395 , 41 S. L.Ed. 1045, 1049, 17 Sup. Ct. Rep. 595.....	29
In re Erickson, 18 N.J.Misc. 5, 10 A.2d 142, 146	43
In re Riggle's Will, 11 A.D.2d 51 205 N.Y.S.2d 19, 21, 22	22
In re Winship, 397 U.S. 358, 364 (1970)	11
Insurance Co. of North America v. Kunin, 175 Neb. 260, 121 N.W.2d. 372, 375, 376	11, 16
Jaremillo v. Romero, 1 N.Mex. 190, 194	35
Kelley v. Johnson, 425 U.S. 238, 247 (1976).....	13, 26
Kurilla v Roth, 132 N.J.L. 213, 38 A.2d 862, 864	44
Labberton v. General Cas. Co. of America, 53 Wash.2d 180, 332 P.2d 250, 252, 254.....	33
Leary v. United States, 395 U.S. 6, 29-53, 89 S.Ct. 1532, 1544-1557, 23 L.Ed.2d 57 (1969).....	26, 34
Long v. Rasmussen, 281 F. 236 (1922).....	24, 33
Long v. Rasmussen, 281 F. 236, 238 (1922).....	40
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137, 1 Cranch 137, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803)	22
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137, 163 (1803)	12
Markuson v. Boucher, 175 U.S. 184 , 44 L.Ed. 124, 20 Sup. Ct. Rep. 76	29
McElvaine v. Brush, 142 U.S. 155, 160 , 35 S. L.Ed. 971, 973, 12 Sup. Ct. Rep. 156	29
Miller Brothers Co. v. Maryland, 347 U.S. 340 (1954).....	35, 45
Miners' Bank v. Iowa ex rel. District Prosecuting Attorney, 12 How. 1, 13 L.Ed. 867	42

Mookini v. U.S.	303 U.S. 201, 205, 58 S.Ct. 543, 545 (1938)	40
Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Land & Improvement Co.,	18 How. 272, 284 (1856)	21, 42
New York v. Eno,	155 U.S. 89, 96 , 39 S. L.Ed. 80, 83, 15 Sup. Ct. Rep. 30	29
Newblock v. Bowles,	170 Okl. 487, 40 P.2d 1097, 1100	28, 33, 41
Northern Pipeline Const. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.,	458 U.S. 50, 102 S.Ct. 2858 (1983)	21, 34, 43
Northern Pipeline Const. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.,	458 U.S. at 83-84, 102 S.Ct. 2858 (1983)	25
O'Connor v. Ortega,	480 U.S. 709, 723 (1987)	13
O'Donohue v. United States,	289 U.S. 516, 53 S.Ct. 740 (1933)	18
O'Connor v. Ortega,	480 U.S. 709, 723 (1987)	26
O'Donohue v. United States,	289 U.S. 516, 53 S.Ct. 740 (1933)	34, 38
Palmore v. United States,	411 U.S. 389, 390-391, 93 S.Ct. 1670, 36 L.Ed.2d. 342 (1973)	18
Pepke v. Cronan,	155 U.S. 100 , 39 L.Ed. 84, 15 Sup. Ct. Rep. 34	29
Pope v. Board of Education Com'rs,	370 Ill. 196, 18 N.E.2d 214, 216	43
Public Workers v. Mitchell,	330 U.S. 75, 101 (1947)	13, 26
Re Chapman,	156 U.S. 211, 216 , 39 S. L.Ed. 401, 402, 15 Sup. Ct. Rep. 331	29
Re Duncan,	139 U.S. 449 , 454, sub nom. Duncan v. McCall, 35 L.Ed. 219, 222, 11 Sup. Ct. Rep. 573	28
Re Frederich,	149 U.S. 70, 75, 37 S. L.Ed. 653, 656, 13 Sup. Ct. Rep. 793	29
Re Wood,	140 U.S. 278, 289, Sub nom. Wood v. Bursh, 35 L.Ed. 505, 509, 11 Sup. Ct. Rep. 738	29
Rowen v. U.S.,	05-3766MMC. (N.D.Cal. 11/02/2005)	24, 37, 46
Rutan v. Republican Party of Illinois,	497 U.S. 62 (1990)	13, 26
Schlesinger v. Wisconsin,	270 U.S. 230, 46 S.Ct. 260, 70 L.Ed. 557 (1926)	26, 34
Scott v. Jones,	5 How. 343, 12 L.Ed. 181	42
Sinking Fund Cases,	99 U.S. 700 (1878)	25, 36
Smith v. Smith,	206 Pa.Super. 310, 213 A.2d 94	45
South Carolina v. Regan,	465 U.S. 367 (1984)	33, 40
Spreckels Sugar Refining Co. v. McClain,	192 U.S. 397 (1904)	25
State of Minnesota v. Brundage,	180 U.S. 499 (1901)	29
Tinsley v. Anderson,	171 U.S. 101, 105 , 43 S. L.Ed. 91, 96, 18 Sup. Ct. Rep. 805	29
Tot v. United States,	319 U.S. 463, 468-469, 63 S.Ct. 1241, 1245-1246, 87 L.Ed. 1519 (1943)	26, 34
Turner v. United States,	396 U.S. 398, 418-419, 90 S.Ct. 642, 653-654, 24 L.Ed.2d 610 (1970)	26, 34
U.S. Supreme Court		20, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33, 46
U.S. v. Bink,	74 F.Supp. 603, D.C.Or. (1947)	22
U.S. v. Brown,	D.C.App., 309 A.2d. 256, 257	17
United States v. Brown,	381 U.S. 437, 448-49, 85 S.Ct. 1707, 1715, 14 L.Ed. 484, 492	25
United States v. Guest,	383 U.S. 745 (1966)	26
United States v. Harris,	106 U.S. 629, 639 (1883)	26
United States v. Lovett,	328 U.S. 303, 315, 66 S.Ct. 1073, 1079, 90 L.Ed. 1252	25
United States v. Reese,	92 U.S. 214, 218 (1876)	26
Vlandis v. Kline,	412 U.S. 441 (1973)	26, 34
Weeks v. United States,	232 U.S. 383 (1914)	30
Whitten v. Tomlinson,	160 U.S. 231, 242, 40 S. L.Ed. 406, 412, 16 Sup. Ct. Rep. 297	29
Yick Wo v. Hopkins,	118 U.S. 356, 369 , 6 S. Sup. Ct. 1064, 1071	13

Other Authorities

2 Bouv. Inst. n. 2279, 2327; 4 T. R. 657	13
3 bl. Comm. 57, 59	15
53 Stat. 1, SEDM Exhibit #05.027	47
86 C.J.S. (Corpus, Juris, Secundum, Legal Encyclopedia), Territories, §1	30
A Treatise on the Law of Public Offices and Officers, Floyd Russell Mechem, 1890, p. 27, §74	32
Black's Law Dictionary, Fourth Edition, p. 1693	24
Black's Law Dictionary, Seventh Edition, p. 668	9
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 1216	33
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 1498	35
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 165	25, 38
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 297	17
Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 485	45

Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 581	28, 33, 41
Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 7.....	44
Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 97.....	11, 16
Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, pp. 120-121	15
Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, pp. 1304-1306	22
Court of International Trade.....	19
Court Remedies for Sovereigns: Taxation, Litigation Tool #10.002.....	37
Executive Order 10289.....	30
Federal Enforcement Authority Within States of the Union, Form #05.032	23, 32
Federal Jurisdiction, Form #05.018.....	23
Federal Jurisdiction, Form #05.018, Section 8	27
Government Conspiracy to Destroy the Separation of Powers, Form #05.023	31
Government Identity Theft, Form #05.046	19
Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises, Form #05.030.....	26, 32, 37
Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises, Form #05.030, Section 28.7	19
Internal Revenue Manual	47
IRM 4.10.7.2.9.8	46
IRS Form 1040.....	31
IRS Forms W-2, 1042-S, 1098, and 1099	16
IRS Publications	37
Isaiah 42:22-25.....	31
Jailhouse Lawyer’s Handbook, Litigation Tool #10.002	23
Katz, Federal Legislative Courts, 43 Harv.L.Rev. 894, 917-918 (1930).....	21, 42
Liberty University	37
Notice of Deficiency	24
Presumption: Chief Weapon for Unlawfully Enlarging Federal Jurisdiction, Form #05.017	47
Reasonable Belief About Income Tax Liability, Form #05.007.....	31, 37, 47
Silence as a Weapon and a Defense in Legal Discovery, Form #05.021	23
The “Trade or Business” Scam, Form #05.001	22, 28, 37
The Spirit of Laws, Charles de Montesquieu, Book XI, Section 6.....	12
Treasury Order 150-02.....	30
Treatise on Government, Joel Tiffany, p. 49, Section 78	30
U.S. Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia.....	19
U.S. Tax Court	9, 19, 25, 26, 38, 40, 42, 45, 46
United States District Court	20, 40, 46
United States Tax Court Website	37
W.J.V. Windeyer, Lectures on Legal History 56-57 (2d ed. 1949).....	9
What Happened to Justice?, Form #06.012.....	9, 10
What Happened to Justice?: Why There is No Justice in Federal Court and What to Do About It	21
Why the Government Can’t Lawfully Assess Human Beings With an Income Tax Liability Without Their Consent, Form #05.011	32, 36
Why You Are a “national”, “state national”, and Constitutional but not Statutory Citizen, Form #05.006.....	34
Why Your Government is Either A Thief or You Are a “Public Officer” for Income Tax Purposes, Form #05.008.....	32

1 Introduction

Those who have been the victim of IRS abuses such as illegal Substitute for Returns or assessments are typically offered two court remedies:

1. U.S. Tax Court
2. U.S. District Court

In all cases having to do with a person domiciled in a state of the Union, neither of the above remedies are sufficient because BOTH will unconstitutionally prejudice rather than protect the rights of the litigant. This paper will explain all the reasons why those wishing to defend their constitutional rights cannot entertain a suit in either of the above courts, and will explain how obtain a remedy without filing a suit in either court.

2 Legislative Franchise Courts

Tax Court, Federal District Court, and Federal Circuit Courts of the United States are what we call “franchise courts”. Ditto for “traffic court” and “family court” at the state level. A “franchise court” is one which hears disputes relating ONLY to a franchise or “public right” recognized in statutory law. Below is a legal definition of the term “franchise court”:

“franchise court. Hist. A privately held court that (usu.) exists by virtue of a royal grant [privilege], with jurisdiction over a variety of matters, depending on the grant and whatever powers the court acquires over time. In 1274, Edward I abolished many of these feudal courts by forcing the nobility to demonstrate by what authority (quo warranto) they held court. If a lord could not produce a charter reflecting the franchise, the court was abolished. - Also termed courts of the franchise.

*Dispensing justice was profitable. Much revenue could come from the fees and dues, fines and amercements. This explains the growth of the second class of feudal courts, the Franchise Courts. They too were private courts held by feudal lords. Sometimes their claim to jurisdiction was based on old pre-Conquest grants ... But many of them were, in reality, only wrongful usurpations of private jurisdiction by powerful lords. These were put down after the famous Quo Warranto enquiry in the reign of Edward I.” W.J.V. Windeyer, Lectures on Legal History 56-57 (2d ed. 1949).”
[Black’s Law Dictionary, Seventh Edition, p. 668]*

Franchise courts may not officiate over disputes involving PRIVATE, Constitutionally protected rights, which in turn may only be heard at the federal level in Article III Constitutional courts. Hence, they are ONLY what we call “property courts”, and the property is the franchise and all rights that attach to the franchise “res”. They dispense “property justice”, not “people justice”. The only remaining Article III courts at the federal level are the Court of International Trade and the United States Supreme Court. The following subsections provide evidence supporting these facts. If you would like to analyze this matter further, we refer you to the following book on our website:

[What Happened to Justice?](http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm), Form #06.012
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

2.1 Franchise (property) courts generally

If any dispute arises under the franchise agreement, the franchise agreement normally specifies that the dispute must be heard in what we call a “property court”. For instance, all federal district and circuit courts are “property” courts established pursuant to Article 4, Section 3, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution, which states:

*United States Constitution
Article 4, Section 3, Clause 2*

*The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and **make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property** belonging to the United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be so construed as to Prejudice any Claims of the United States, or of any particular State.*

Federal district and circuit courts are NOT Article III constitutional courts, but simply property courts. This fact is exhaustively proven in the following book: