

# **Sovereignty For Police Officers**

**Form #12.022**



**by:**  
**Sovereignty Education  
and Defense Ministry  
(SEDM)**

**<http://sedm.org>**

**January 9, 2024**

**S E D M**



**GOD**

**TRUTH**

**LIBERTY**

# ***Course Materials***

If you want a copy of this presentation after viewing the course, you can download it from:

–***Liberty University, Section 2.15***

<http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm>

–***SEDM Forms Page, Form #12.022***

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

# DISCLAIMER

- Information appearing in this presentation is educational in nature
- Everything presented is based on:
  - Thousands of hours of scriptural and legal research
  - Review and use of the resulting research by the over 1 Million people who have visited and are currently using the [SEDM Website](#) and [Family Guardian Website](#)
  - Continuous feedback from our many readers that have improved the quality of the information over time
- If you find anything inaccurate in this presentation, our [Member Agreement, Form #01.001](#) makes it a DUTY of all members to promptly bring the error to our immediate attention with supporting evidence so that we may continually improve our materials. Your evidence must be completely consistent with our presentation below:

***Reasonable Belief About Income Tax Liability*, Form #05.007**

DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/ReasonableBelief.pdf>

FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

- The application of this information to your specific legal circumstances is exclusively your choice and responsibility
- The information presented is copyrighted and subject to the copyright restrictions found at:  
<http://sedm.org/disclaimer.htm>
- Our disclaimer is the SAME disclaimer as the U.S. government uses. See section Internal Revenue Manual, Section 4.10.7.2.8 at:  
<http://www.irs.gov/irm/part4/ch10s11.html>

# ***DISCLAIMER***

- **THIS NON-COMMERCIAL VIDEO IS PROTECTED BY THE FAIR USE DOCTRINE OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT, 17 U.S.C.**
- **PLEASE CONSULT OUR D.M.C.A. PAGE IF YOU HAVE COPYRIGHT ISSUES:**  
<http://sedm.org/Ministry/DMCA-Copyright.htm>

# ***COURSE OUTLINE***

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. LIES you are being told about sovereignty advocates**
- 3. Police Aren't Required to Protect You**
- 4. Sovereignty core beliefs**
- 5. People in public service SHARE our goals**
- 6. What Sovereignty is NOT**
- 7. Misconceptions about Sovereignty Advocates**
- 8. Current objections to public service employees**



# ***COURSE OUTLINE***

- 9. Application: Vehicle Code**
- 10. Abuse of the police powers**
- 11. Hidden scams behind the vehicle code**
- 12. Questions to Ponder on . . .**
- 13. Sovereignty and gun control**
- 14. Sovereignty and taxation**
- 15. Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty**
- 16. Summary and Conclusions**
- 17. Further information**

# *Thank you*

- **Thank you for your oath to uphold the American moral and ethical values.**



# *Introduction*

- **This video was prepared to:**
  - Provide an overview of **Sovereignty**.
  - Dispel **government propaganda** designed to discredit and misrepresent the issue of **Sovereignty**.
  - Raise the awareness to the people in public service about their own **Sovereign status**.
  - Educate the people about their proper roles when public service is exercised.
  - Provide a defense useful in traffic court.

# *Introduction*

- The Declaration of Independence is the First Organic Law to which all subsequent laws must heed. It establishes CONSENT of the governed as the foundation of ALL JUST government civil authority.
- Public service requires the taking of an oath to uphold the Organic Laws so established, whereby all people hold equal status, with no superior, before stepping down to performing services for others, such as public service.
- Under said First Law, no collective or group of people can lawfully get together and strip anyone of that sovereign equality without his/her consent.
- The Bill of Rights establishes the moral and ethical conditions under which people may lawfully be stripped of their sovereignty and equality as ONLY under common law crimes.

# *Introduction*

- The First Amendment is FIRST in the Bill of Rights because:
  - It recognizes and protects the ABSOLUTE right of all to CIVILLY associate and DIS-associate.
  - The FIRST thing you do when forming any group, including a “government” is to give those who are NOT a member of said group the ABSOLUTE RIGHT to NOT join or participate.
  - Those CONSENSUALLY associated are called STATUTORY “citizens” and “residents”.
  - Those NOT CONSENSUALLY associated are called NONRESIDENTS and TRANSIENT FOREIGNERS.
  - If the right to contract means anything at all, it means the right to CONTRACT any and every government OUT of the CIVIL relationship between the parties, and disassociate with any civil statutory status over which the government enjoys jurisdiction.

# *Introduction*

- The [First Ten Amendments \(The Bill of Rights\)](#) protect all sovereigns from socio-political abuse and unlawful loss of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness (property) unless sovereigns EXPRESSLY, knowingly, and willfully [consent](#) to [become unequal](#) via a fully disclosed agreement, or are found guilty of a common law crime, by a jury of their peers.
- The [Bill of Rights](#) can only be interpreted in harmony with the intent of the writers at a time when ONLY common law existed. Since there were no statutes at that time, then the only crimes at common law would be murder, rape, arson, theft, mayhem, treason, etc.

# Introduction

- To refuse to CIVILLY associate and thereby remain a nonresident is not “lawless” nor does it mean one is an anarchist because those “nonresidents” who don’t associate are still subject to:
  - The CRIMINAL law. . . and
  - The COMMON law.
- If it is “anarchistic”, then the government itself is an anarchist because it unconstitutionally abuses sovereign immunity to:
  - Pick and choose what laws it wants to be subject to.
  - What laws it is NOT subject to.
  - When it is a “person” under the civil law.Anyone, including governments, who can do the above is truly “lawless” and an anarchist. More at:  
*Your Irresponsible, Lawless, and Anarchist Beast Government*, Form #05.054  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/YourIrresponsibleLawlessGov.pdf>
- If government claims sovereign immunity, then WE as co-equals must ALSO have it, because it was delegated from We the People.

# ***LIES You are Being Told by Sovereignty Advocates***

- The following documents on our site REBUT fraudulent government propaganda designed to discredit freedom advocates and violently radicalize police against them needlessly:
  - ***Handling a Police Traffic Stop***, Form #09.083  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/09-Procs/TrafficStopTraining.pdf>
  - ***Rebutted False Statements About Sovereignty In The News***, Form #08.027-rebuttal to new stories about sovereignty advocates  
<https://sedm.org/category/rebutted-false-arguments/rebutted-false-statements-about-sovereignty-in-the-news/>
  - ***Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments About Sovereignty***, Form #08.018  
DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgSovereignty.pdf>  
FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
  - ***Policy Document: Answers to Press Questions About Sovereignty Advocacy***, Form #08.019  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>



# ***LIES You are Being Told by Sovereignty Advocates***

- **Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments Against This Website**, Form #08.011  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgAgWebsite.pdf>
- **Citizenship and Sovereignty Course**, Form #12.001-background on sovereignty and citizenship, but NOT “sovereign citizenship”  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

## ***Police Aren't Required to Protect You***

- **The so-called purpose of establishing government is to PROTECT and SERVE the public and NOT the “individual”:**

*“..fundamental principle of American law is that a government and its agents are under no general duty to provide public services, such as police protection, to any individual citizen”*

*[Warren v. District of Columbia, 444 A.2d. .1 (D.C. Ct. of Ap., 1981)]*

- **The basis for paying “taxes” is to fund the institutionalized process of providing protection for club members, such as “citizens” and “residents”.**
- **Those who are members of the club are parties to the “social compact”, which is a CIVIL contract or agreement.**

# ***Police Aren't Required to Protect You***

- **The above case establishes that:**
  - The PARTY is the individual within the social compact and NOT the “collective” or group.
  - Police have NO RECIPROCAL DUTY under the protection contract to THAT PARTY, and therefore, do NOT render the main consideration under the contract to the individual.
  - This converts the CIVIL CONTRACT into a COMPACT, because it is a ONE-sided “benefit”. This is because the police have no duty to the individual citizen, and yet the individual citizen is perceived as a party to the social compact. This creates a contradiction.
- **It is a principle of contract law that all contracts:**
  - Are UNENFORCEABLE without MUTUAL consideration and MUTUAL obligation.
  - If only one party “benefits”, then a theft is occurring against the other party, unless the party consented.

# ***Police Over-reach***

- **Therefore, if police don't have a legally enforceable CIVIL obligation or duty to a SPECIFIC citizen to protect them, then:**
  - Where does the MUTUAL obligation arise for the individual citizen to pay for services NOT rendered directly to them in the form of “taxes”?
  - Do we REALLY have a “government” as legally defined, if said government doesn't have to protect you PERSONALLY in exchange for the taxes you pay INDIVIDUALLY?
- **This can only mean that:**
  - Police officers only operate upon the PUBLIC domain.
  - Police officers have a duty to protect ONLY PUBLIC property and PUBLIC persons, not PRIVATE property or PRIVATE persons.
  - You have to CONVERT (donate) your PRIVATE property to PUBLIC property to even qualify for their services!
- **Would you hire a security guard to protect YOUR PRIVATE property under the above circumstances? We wouldn't!**

# Sovereignty Core Beliefs

- The essence of sovereignty is that EVERYONE has equal rights, equal dignity, and deserves equal respect and treatment under the law, whether a sovereign or a public servant, regardless of race, creed, nationality, etc..
- The U.S. Supreme Court agreed, when it held:  
*“No duty rests more imperatively upon the courts than the enforcement of those constitutional provisions intended to secure that **equality of rights** which is the foundation of free government.”*  
[*Gulf, C. & S. F. R. Co. v. Ellis*, [165 U.S. 150](#) (1897) ]
- The “free government” in the above U.S. Supreme Court citation reveals that “equality of rights” is the guarantee of “free association” by consent to duty. The Court reinforced that the Declaration of Independence established that all JUST powers derive from the consent of the governed.

# *Sovereignty Core Beliefs*

- **Consent** to alternative choices and voluntary free association does not mean “compelled”.
  - People freely associate by instituting governments, which are bound to serve ONLY those within said association.
  - The free market is the only moral, ethical, and viable force which can self-regulate toward sustainable economic and **socio-political interactions** in the Land of The Free.
  - Public sector associations obey the same rules governing free association. Capitalism works in the private sector, just as well in the public sector!
  - Any compelled CIVIL association is therefore a **violation of equal protection and equal treatment.**

# *Sovereignty Core Beliefs*

- **No association of several people is allowed to violate rights of one of the people, and either are equal in authority and rights under the foundational or substantive laws. This is because:**
  - **In the Land of The Free any one of the people has only the power to self-govern. Said power is the only power that can be delegated at different degrees of association with others.**
  - **In our society of equals, with no superior, no one can delegate that which he/she does not have.**

# ***Sovereignty Core Beliefs***

- If one knowingly, willingly, and freely joins CIVIL associations by consenting to a statutory civil status, one acquires only the contractual obligations attached to the particular association. A “foreigner” may get a “driver’s license” without the automatic presumption of STATUTORY “citizen” or domicile status.
- Any other approach leads to idolatry toward government and turns government into an unconstitutional civil religion, in which OBEDIENCE is the worship, FRANCHISES are the bible, and the God being worshipped is civil rulers.



# Sovereignty Core Beliefs

- Like every other type of “business”, government delivers a “product” called “protection” and no one can be forced to become a customer for that product.
  - “Customers” are called statutory “citizens” and “residents”.
  - “Non-customers” are called “nonresidents” and “transient foreigners” and may not assume the status of “person” or “individual” under the civil statutory law.
- It is a CRIME and UNCONSTITUTIONAL SLAVERY to compel people to become a “customer” and therefore to become a statutory “citizen” or “resident”.
- The U.S. Supreme Court agrees:

*In imposing a tax, says Mr. Chief Justice Marshall, the legislature acts upon its constituents. "All subjects," he adds, "over which the power of a State extends are objects of taxation, but those over which it does not extend are, upon the soundest principles, exempt from taxation. This proposition \*334 may almost be pronounced self-evident." McCulloch v. Maryland, 4 Wheat. 316, 428.*

*[United States v. Erie R. Co., 106 U.S. 327 (1882)]*

# *In Short*

- **Sovereignty means:**
  - Dignity, respect, and absolute EQUALITY of rights and EQUALITY of treatment.
  - Only being treated UNEQUALLY when EXPRESS informed consent is demonstrated with evidence.
- **Even as a police officer, you cannot escape the sovereign responsibility of self government.**

# Two Types of Governments

- There are ONLY two types of governments:
  - Those that civilly govern by CONSENT. See:  
*Requirement for Consent*, Form #05.003  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/Consent.pdf>
  - Terrorist government. See:  
*De Facto Government Scam*, Form #05.043  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/DeFactoGov.pdf>
- Any attempt to do any of the following is terrorism as legally defined:
  - PRESUME consent to an enforced CIVIL obligation without having to prove it in court. This is a violation of due process.
  - [Impose or enforce any civil status or civil obligation upon a non-consenting party.](#)
  - Enforce the civil statutory laws against non-residents.
  - [Collect income taxes from non-resident parties.](#)
  - Place conditions upon the acquisition of any government product or service that would compel one to association and assume a [specific civil status](#), such as [statutory “citizen” or “resident”](#) or “person”. Simply paying directly and immediately for the service ought to be sufficient to receive it. This is [“privilege induced slavery”](#).

# *People in Public Service Share Our Goals*



## *People in Public Service Share Our Goals*

- Upon taking the oath of public service, sovereigns may exercise specific delegated police powers ONLY for the purpose of protecting the PRIVATE property of those freely associated. Said task is done through:
  - Legal education.
  - Law enforcement.
  - Political activism.
  - Administrative activism.
  - Legal activism.
- As police officers, sovereigns must learn the law and its relationship to criminal statutes, just as our members must also do on a regular basis.


## *People in Public Service Share Our Goals*

- The only difference between any of the following is consent:
  - Theft and a donation.
  - A statutory “citizen” and a nonresident.
  - A “taxpayer” and a “nontaxpayer”.
  - Rape and consensual sex.
- As public servants, sovereigns preserve their own interest in preventing crime by strictly observing the requirement for consent in all enforcement actions.

# *People in Public Service Share Our Goals*

- A sovereign's job under oath is **JUSTICE**.
- **JUSTICE** requires recognizing all sovereign rights such as:
  - To be left alone, unless accused of a common law crime according to the restrictions promulgated in the Bill of Rights.
  - To be left alone unless one knowingly, willfully, voluntarily, freely, and under full disclosure, **consents** to **civil statutory status** such as “driver”, “**citizen**”, “resident”, etc.. Otherwise, any compelled association would fall under unconstitutional **eminent domain** and **identity theft**, where the public rights attached to “**civil status**” are the **property STOLEN**.

# ***What Sovereignty is NOT***





# *What Sovereignty is NOT*

- True “sovereigns” are NOT:
  - [Sovereign citizens](#) (an oxymoron. See [Form #08.011, Sections 9.1, 9.2](#)).
  - Elitists (denies First Organic Law of All men are created equal)
  - Super constitutionalists (Constitutions are rules for governments)
  - Terrorists (See Black’s Law definition).
  - Violent (sovereignty never advocates the initiation of force)
  - Occupy Movement (sovereigns do not “occupy” themselves)
  - Racists (equality of ALL, not just the white race)
  - . . .or any other stereotype that might connect them to violent, anti-government, or criminal people or activities.
- [Sovereignty in our country](#) is protected by each and every one of us. Slander, labeling, identity politics, or defamation are tools used by those who oppose the rule of law and oppose the [equality and dignity of all](#).

## *Misconceptions About Sovereignty Advocates*

- Sovereigns are NOT elitists, because they promote the EQUALITY of rights under the law, dignity, and respect for ALL, regardless of race, sexual orientation, or nationality, in keeping with all foundational laws of our American Society.
- Sovereigns are NOT anti-government. Since there would be no government unless instituted amongst CONSENTING sovereigns, there is no benefit to any “violent overthrow” of free association.
- Sovereigns are against monopolies on anything, and provide for the enforcement of civil laws against ONLY “club” members. Non-members have to be civilly LEFT ALONE.
- Sovereigns do not deem criminal laws or the common law as “voluntary”.

# ***Misconceptions About Sovereignty Advocates***

- **Sovereigns are not “anarchists”**. They are civilly governed as co-equals by the **common law** but not civil statutory franchise “codes”. A **voluntary civil franchise such as the vehicle code requires consent**.

**Lack of consent is:**

- NOT deemed as “anarchistic”.
- Rather called “freedom of choice” and “freedom of association”.
- Called an exercise of your **unalienable right** to NOT contract or civilly associate with the government for CIVIL “protection”.
- For details, see:

***Problems With Atheistic Anarchism*, Form #08.020**

**<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>**

## *Current objections to public service employees*

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service deceive the public to avoid procuring consent, by arbitrarily decreeing CIVIL “codes” such as franchises as “law” for everyone, or PRESUME a “code” is LAW or PUBLIC LAW, when in fact it is a voluntary franchise, requiring consent that is PRIVATE LAW.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service exercise LOVE OF MONEY to force people into a commercial/tax obligation without their consent to BECOME “taxpayers” or “customers” of a specific service.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service fail to give reasonable notice of the requirement for consent when they make a legal presentment.

# Current objections to public service employees

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service fail to protect people's right to be LEFT ALONE. Hence equal protection is transgressed AGAINST. "Justice" is legally defined as the "right to be left alone". (See <http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/CitesByTopic/justice.htm>)
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service enforce an UNEQUAL relationship , and thus violate the Constitution.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service steal by PRESUMING a legal interest of government in ALL property. "Property" is defined as EXCLUSIVE use of a thing and the RIGHT TO EXCLUDE ALL OTHERS from using, controlling, or "benefitting from" the use of a thing. See:
  - Hot Issues: Laws of Property, SEDM  
<https://sedm.org/laws-of-property/>
  - Laws of Property, Form #14.018  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/14-PropProtection/LawsOfProperty.pdf>
  - Separation Between Public and Private Course, Form #12.025  
<https://sedm.org/LibertyU/SeparatingPublicPrivate.pdf>

## ***Current objections to public service employees***

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service claim sovereign immunity but refuse to recognize the equal right of others to it. Thus, they interfere with EVERY attempt to approach them as an EQUAL, not as a SERVANT.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service steal by PRESUMING others are party to a contract, such as an “employee” or “public officer” without demonstrating consent to the status. This includes the Internal Revenue Code and the vehicle code.

## ***Current objections to public service employees***

- **Some sovereigns under oath to public service try to insert themselves as a party into every commercial transaction, against the wishes of the parties to said transaction, by PRESUMING that the parties operate under a civil statutory status such as “driver”- which in fact the parties do not have or do not consent to having. This:**
  - Reflects an attempt to defraud under color of law.
  - Is a direct interference with the protected right to contract, for which governments are instituted among men.
  - Is no different than a “stick up” of a common street criminal.

## *Current objections to public service employees*

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service **PRESUME** consent to additional services although one signed-up for only one specific service. Forms such as driver licenses are **presumed** to ALSO usually falsely:
  - Identify a **domicile** on federal territory.
  - Identify the applicant as an agent of a particular association or organization. This includes **statutory entities** such as “**citizen**”, “**resident**”, “driver”, “spouse”, “**taxpayer**”, etc.

None of the above should be **PRESUMED**, and they should ask whether people want these things and not force them onto the people **through deception**.



# Orwellian Doublethink

- One cannot take a Constitutional oath to support and defend the equality and rights of all and yet:
  - Refuse to recognize the right to disassociate from the “club” as a statutory “person” or “citizen” and to instead be a status of statutory non-citizen national.
  - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to NOT participate in a specific civil franchise.
  - Refuse to recognize and protect the right of sovereigns NOT to procure a specific government service.
  - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to NOT procure any specific civil statutory status, including “driver”, “citizen”, “resident”, etc.
  - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to exclude the government from taxing or benefitting from the use of one’s property. “Property”, after all, is legally defined as the right to exclude.
  - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to NOT use government identifying numbers in connection with any transaction.

# ***Mafia v. Government?***

- **Forced “protection” by any association or organization is a racket paid for through “PROTECTION MONEY”.**
- **Likewise, forced “taxation” by any government instituted among men is “PROTECTION MONEY”.**
- **The only lawful governmental PROTECTION is of the People’s right to contract or NOT to contract for protection.**
- **The foundational and substantive laws of the Land of The Free disallow compelled associations such as “doing business”, or being a “club member” called a “citizen”/“resident” of a lawful government association.**
- **No foundational or substantive laws allow the use of Mafia-like techniques, such as threats, force, lies, deception, etc., against those who object or fail to “volunteer” to pay a tribute which is effectively “Protection Money” (i.e. “district” taxes).**

# *Mafia v. Government?*

For a complete video on the Government Mafia, see:  
<https://sedm.org/media/government-mafia/>




# *Application: Vehicle Code*




# Application: Vehicle Code

## CIVIL/FRANCHISE PROVISIONS



“Civil Infractions”

## CRIMINAL PROVISIONS



“Crimes”

# Application: Vehicle Code

#	Description	Civil/Franchise Provisions	Criminal Provisions
1	Violations called	"Infractions"	Crimes
2	Type of violation	Malum prohibitum	Malum in se
3	Type of law	PRIVATE law Civil <a href="#">franchise</a>	PUBLIC law
4	Requires <a href="#">consent</a> to enforce?	YES	NO
5	Applicable to:	Those who consent to the civil statutory status of "driver"	All
6	Commercial activity?	Yes	No
7	Origin of authority	Regulation/taxation of use of public roadways for hire	Public protection
8	Violations heard in	"Traffic court"	Criminal court
9	Type of court hearing case	<a href="#">Franchise</a> court	Constitutional court

# Application: Vehicle Code

#	Description	Civil/Franchise Provisions	Criminal Provisions
11	Requires an injured party?	No	Yes
12	Acquires the “force of law” without <a href="#">consent</a>	No	Yes
13	Requires <a href="#">domicile</a> to violate?	Yes	No
14	Jury trial?	No (violates constitution if no <a href="#">consent</a> given)	Yes

# ***How Vehicle Code Violates Equality***

- License plates and driver licenses are government property.
- When a police officer stops people, the first thing they ask for is evidence that such property is being “used” in connection with the commercial use of the roadways.
- Only when the license/registration (government property) is **CONSENSUALLY** so used can it lawfully convey rights to any government.
- Likewise, our time, vehicle, and property are **PRIVATE** when not so used, and thus we have the [EQUAL right](#) to demand that:
  - Government representatives procure our consent to take or use it for **THEIR** benefit.
  - Government representatives must sign up for **OUR** license to use said property when it is **PRIVATE**.
  - The use of said property **WITHOUT** said license **FROM US** is **EQUALLY** a violation of our [anti-franchise agreement](#) and a tort.
- A “public officer” is legally defined as someone in charge of public property. Likewise, a police officer using our time, towing our vehicles, or demanding penalties in connection with otherwise **PRIVATE** property becomes **OUR** servant instead of the government’s. It goes **BOTH** ways.



# ***How Vehicle Code Violates Equality***

- **Police officers can be “off duty” when they take off their uniform BUT...**
- **If we go “off duty” by removing the license plate and not using the driver license because we are NOT using the commercial roadways for hire, we are:**
  - **Stopped by police for driving without a license plate.**
  - **Suspected of being criminals.**
  - **Prosecuted for driving without license and/or registration.**
  - **Harassed for not having a commercial relationship with the government.**
- **In other words, the government abuses the [vehicle code franchise](#) as a means to compel us to work for them 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, WITHOUT COMPENSATION and we are punished while “OFF DUTY”.**

# *Abuse of the Police Powers*

- Policemen are supposed to enforce **ONLY** the criminal laws.
- The vehicle code contains **BOTH** civil franchise provisions as **WELL** as criminal provisions.
- Civil franchise provisions of the vehicle code:
  - Are called “infractions” or “civil infractions”.
  - Are intended primarily to raise revenue.
  - May only be enforced against those who CONSENT to acquire the civil/franchise status of “driver” **AND** who **ALREADY** work for the government as public officers domiciled on federal territory not within any state.

# ***Abuse of the Police Powers***

- **It is an abuse of the police powers to:**
  - Use policemen as revenue collectors.
  - Incentivize policemen with commissions on each ticket given.
  - Put a policeman on the stand for a civil infraction matter who has a direct or indirect financial interest in the outcome. This is a breach of public trust and conflict of interest.
  - Arrest people who are not ALREADY public officers for refusing to get a “driver” license.
  - Give policemen access to any more guns/weaponry than the citizens themselves may obtain. This violates equal protection and equal treatment that is the foundation of the Constitution.

# *The World According to Chief White Hawk*

- No trust White man who love green paper.
- No trust chief in black robe and hammer [judge]. He kidnap many.
- No trust man in black clothes with gun and red light on moving wagon [police]. He tax collector for White chief in black robe and hammer.
- No trust White man in black robe with wooden hammer. He lie much.
- No trust White man who change laws all the time.
- No trust White man who pretend “codes” [compacts/consensual franchises] are law for everyone.
- No trust White man that no keep his promise.

## *The World According to Chief White Hawk*

- **No trust White man that no respect the Great Creator Spirit.**
- **No trust White man that do not pray to Great Spirit.**
- **No trust White man who kill unborn babies with spear.**
- **No trust White chief who do not read the [good Book](#).**
- **No trust White man who forsake babies and leave squaw alone.**

# ***The World According to Chief White Hawk***



## ***How the DMV/Vehicle Code SCAMS People***

- **When we want to terminate a driver license, the DMV will say THEY are the only ones who can do it and when THEY terminate it, they will call it a “suspension” and then make us a criminal if they catch you driving without a license from SOME government.**
- **DMV will misrepresent the civil or penal provisions “code” as law for those who never applied for a license.**
- **DMV violate the [Fifth Amendment](#) right NOT to incriminate oneself by taking biometric data in connection with the license application.**

# *How the DMV/Vehicle Code SCAMS People*

- When we apply for a license, they compel us to be a public officer in the national government by compelling the providing of a Social Security Number. This allows them to:
  - Place any burden or tax on you they want.
  - Kidnap our legal identity and move it to federal territory not protected by the Constitution. See 42 U.S.C. §1301 definitions.
  - Alienate an Unalienable right in violation of the Declaration of Independence.
  - Trade “rights” for “privileges” even though you don’t consent. This violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of the U.S. Supreme Court.
  - Convert PRIVATE rights to PUBLIC rights without the consent of the owner.
  - Institute a THEFT and taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment.
  - Work a purpose OPPOSITE of that for which governments are established, which is to protect PRIVATE rights. The first step in that process is to keep PRIVATE rights from being converted to PUBLIC rights without the consent of the owner. Therefore, the DMV is NOT a government agency, but an ANTI-GOVERNMENT agency that does the OPPOSITE of what governments are established to do.



## *How the DMV/Vehicle Code SCAMS People*

- It's a SCAM to mix CIVIL and CRIMINAL law together in one title of code called the "vehicle code", and refuse to give the public "REASONABLE NOTICE" within each section whether that section is CIVIL or CRIMINAL.
  - It can't be BOTH.
  - Doing so delegates undue discretion to traffic court personnel.
  - Doing so violates the due process of law because it fails to give "[reasonable notice](#)" to the public of what is expected of them.
  - Doing so causes the public to falsely believe that the section can be enforced against them WITHOUT their [consent](#).

## ***How the DMV/Vehicle Code SCAMS People***

***"It has long been established that a State may not impose a penalty upon those who exercise a right guaranteed by the Constitution." Frost & Frost Trucking Co. v. Railroad Comm'n of California, 271 U.S. 583. "Constitutional rights would be of little value if they could be indirectly denied," Smith v. Allwright, 321 U.S. 649, 644, or manipulated out of existence,' Gomillion v. Lightfoot, 364 U.S. 339, 345."***

***[Harman v. Forssenius, 380 U.S. 528 at 540, 85 S.Ct. 1177, 1185 (1965)]***

# ***How the DMV/Vehicle Code SCAMS People***

- **More about DMV/Vehicle Code SCAMS in:**

- ***Police/Terry Stop Handout***, Form #09.078  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/09-Procs/PoliceStopHandout.pdf>
- ***Defending Your Right to Travel***, Form #06.010  
<https://sedm.org/ItemInfo/Ebooks/DefYourRightToTravel/DefYourRightToTravel.htm>
- ***Hot Issues: Right to Travel/Dealing with the Police\****-SEDM  
<https://sedm.org/right-to-travel/>

# Questions to Ponder On . . .

## WHAT IF . . .

- The civil provisions of the “code” are a voluntary franchise?
- The “code” is not “law” for EVERYONE because it is a voluntary civil franchise?
- “Traffic court” is a binding arbitration board for people already INSIDE the government as “public officers”?
- “Traffic infractions” do not apply to those who do not consent to acquire the civil status of “driver”?
- It is a crime of THEFT and SLAVERY to FORCE someone to assume the duties and liabilities associated with the civil status of “driver”?

# Questions to Ponder On . . .

## WHAT IF...

- The “citizen/government” relationship has been replaced with the “employee/employer” relationship? This is called a “de facto government”.
- Imputing or enforcing any civil status against a non-consenting party is an unconstitutional taking without compensation (violates Fifth Amendment)?
- Attempts to enforce the “driving without a license” civil provisions of vehicle code are simply a method to recruit people to volunteer to become uncompensated officers of the government without consent, and to do so at the point of a policeman’s gun?

# Questions to Ponder On . . .

## WHAT IF . . .

- “Taxes” are really just “protection money” to procure the right to simply be “left alone” (justice) by a group of thugs?
- Police are being brain washed by their employer to enforce a civil religion based on INEQUALITY between the Government and the People?
- Everything in this presentation is TRUE?
- Police raised the information in the presentation with their boss and requested a written, signed response? Do they think they would be terminated, and if so, are they really **SERVING AND PROTECTING** their own interests as **ONE** of the People when not in uniform? Those obeying or enforcing the law should have **NOTHING** to hide and be “beyond reproach”, right?

# *Questions to Ponder On. . .*

## **WHAT IF. . .**

- Some uniformed thugs are the ones “sticking up” and robbing people at the point of the gun by forcing them to become statutory “driver” franchisees?
- A white collar boss is the one that orders the violations documented here?
- Towing or confiscating the unregistered PRIVATE vehicle of an unlicensed PRIVATE party not “driving” is a criminal theft?

# Questions to Ponder On. . .

## WHAT IF. . .

- Traffic court for those who did not consent to become “drivers” constitutes an unconstitutional “bill of attainder”?
- “Traffic court” is a PRIVATE business not within the government? That is how Black’s Law Dictionary describes “[franchise courts](#)”.
- Police supervisors are hiding these critical facts from their underlings?
- [Judges are hiding these critical facts from police](#)?



# *Questions to Ponder On. . .*

## **WHAT IF. . .**

- Legislators are hiding these critical facts from policemen?**
- The TRUTH on these matters are in STATE statutes that we are very deliberately NOT allowed to read and are difficult to find?**
- The professors at police criminal justice program are hiding these critical facts from us?**

# *Questions to Ponder On . . .*

## **WHAT IF . . .**

- **Police are chronically and frequently violating their Constitutional oath by NOT knowing these things or taking them seriously? Do policemen EVEN care, or is it ONLY about the money, their pay, and their “benefits”?**
- **It is a violation of the state Constitution to hear a case in a franchise court called “traffic court” against a party who never consented to acquire a license and who demands a jury trial?**

# Questions to Ponder On . . .

- If indeed the [civil provisions of the vehicle code](#) apply to EVERYONE, then why would one have to “apply” (consent) for a “license”.
- Who polices the police? Aren’t they supposed to police EACH OTHER?
- How can government impose “duties” on [nonconsenting parties](#) without instituting involuntary servitude in violation of the [13<sup>th</sup> Amendment](#)?
- Do police blindly follow orders like the Nazis and illegally injure people and violate their oath with impunity by simply doing what they are told?
- Do policemen even have the ability to FINALLY THINK for themselves . . . or did PUBLIC/GOVERNMENT school destroy that?

## *Questions to Ponder On. . .*

**God gave us all a GOOD and incredibly complex brain.**

**Are we finally going to USE it, or just keep drinking Nazi Kool-Aide?**

**Why didn't they teach all this in the criminal justice curricula police undergo?**

# *Judge Napolitano*

- [Judge Napolitano: Why Taxation is Theft, Abortion is Murder, and Government is Dangerous.  
See: [https://youtu.be/IA\\_YI\\_JCdFg](https://youtu.be/IA_YI_JCdFg)]

# ***Terrorism***

- **The fact that the people charged with learning and enforcing our laws DON'T ask these questions or try to answer them AT A PERSONAL LEVEL:**
  - Causes the Sovereign People they serve to distrust their government.
  - Undermines the [credibility of the government](#).
  - Is a common source of [fear, terror, and terrorism](#) among the public.
  - Undermines your job of protecting the public health, safety, and morals.

# Terrorism

- WHO is the real “terrorist”?

**TER·ROR·ISM** *noun* 1 The act of terrorizing. 2 A system of government that seeks to rule by intimidation. 3 Violent and unlawful acts of violence committed in an organized attempt to overthrow a government.

**[Original (pre-Orwellian) Definition of the Word "Terrorism"  
Funk and Wagnalls New Practical Standard Dictionary  
(1946)]**

# *Judge Napolitano*

- [Do we work for the government, or does the government work for us?  
See: <http://youtu.be/edNmwmKRLeA>]



# ***Sovereignty and gun control***

- Sovereigns wrote the [Second Amendment](#). Therefore there is no reason to object to gun control, so long as:
  - The SAME rules apply to police officers.
  - No police officer may have any more weaponry than a law abiding American can have.
  - No member of the military operating within the states may have or acquire any more weaponry than a law abiding American can have.
- The above position is based on the [Constitutional requirement for equal protection and equal treatment](#).
- Any deviation from the above, [turns “government” into a civil religion with supernatural or superior powers](#) that then becomes the object of “worship”/obedience compelled at gun point.

# ***Sovereignty and gun control***

- **At the founding of our republic, SLAVES were the only ones who couldn't own guns. Do police want EVERYONE to be a slave?**
- **Resources documenting our position on gun control:**
  - ***Gun Control Topic***, Family Guardian website  
<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/GunControl/GunControl.htm>
  - ***Gun Control and Militia Playlist***  
<http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLin1scINPTOusX8K51ftxzWvTSLX7Wza->

# ***Sovereignty and Taxation***

- **We are not against paying taxes.**
- **We don't object to paying for police, jails, courts, and the military. We think that EVERYONE should have to pay for these things and pay the SAME AMOUNT, since all are protected equally.**
- **We ONLY object to the following methods of taxation:**
  - **Ability of government to charge people UNEQUALLY for the same service, such as through graduated taxation.**
  - **No constitutional limits on the percentage of one's earnings paid for either federal or state services.**
  - **Ability of government to administratively seize property without a court trial to pay for taxes. They ought to have to take us to court to collect, just like we have to do when we want to collect from anyone else. This is a requirement of equal protection and equal treatment.**
  - **Charging or taxing for services that people DO NOT WANT or DO NOT NEED beyond the basic services above.**

***Widespread Government Corruption is the REAL Reason for the Growth of the Sovereignty Movement***

- The sovereignty movement is growing fast mainly because of widespread government corruption.
- The sovereignty movement is a DEFENSIVE reaction to:
  - The widespread refusal by corrupted public servants to prosecute THEIR OWN CRIMES.
  - The fact that citizens are forbidden by the courts to prosecute these crimes themselves and the de facto criminals are the ones who refuse to prosecute the crimes.
  - The fact that a corrupted government not only doesn't protect PRIVATE property or PRIVATE rights, but ONLY protects its own THEFT of PRIVATE rights from the public. Thus, we have an ANTI-government rather than a "government", because they do the OPPOSITE to what governments were CREATED to do.

## **Widespread Government Corruption is the REAL Reason for the Growth of the Sovereignty Movement**

- The fact that public servants refuse to “leave us alone” and terrorize us to subsidize activities and a so-called “government” that we want NO part of and are not a “member of”. That’s state sponsored terrorism, not “government”.
- The fact that those in government fraudulently pretend they are a “government” but refuse to actually ACT like a government. See: De Facto Government Scam, Form #05.043;  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/DeFactoGov.pdf>.
- Evidence of this widespread corruption is documented at:  
Government Corruption, Form #11.401  
<http://sedm.org/GovCorruption/GovCorruption.htm>
- The quickest way to undermine the growth of the sovereignty movement is to:
  - Prosecute the corruption within the government documented in the above.
  - Directly address the issues raised UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY in the court record if it is in fact wrong, as required by 26 U.S.C. §6065.

## **Widespread Government Corruption is the REAL Reason for the Growth of the Sovereignty Movement**

- **Presumptuously (and in violation of due process of law) calling the above evidence either “bogus” or “frivolous” without directly rebutting the above evidence with EQUALLY persuasive evidence only expands the sovereignty movement because:**
  - Pursuant to **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(b)(6)**, a failure to deny constitutes an admission of the facts of evidence not expressly denied.
  - It is a crime of witness tampering to “selectively enforce” against those who expose government corruption in order to silence whistleblowers.
- **Calling our research “frivolous” or “bogus” or attempting to civilly penalize us without offering evidence PROVING that assertion from our domicile as required by **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b)** is:**
  - A violation of the **separation of powers doctrine** in which courts are unconstitutionally acting in a **political capacity** within the Executive Branch.
  - Perpetuates and protects an unconstitutional **state-sponsored religion** in which government criminals have a de facto unconstitutional “title of nobility” or indemnity from prosecution for their crimes.

***Widespread Government Corruption is the REAL Reason for the Growth of the Sovereignty Movement***

- **If you as a public servant want to know why the sovereignty movement exists and continues to expand, LOOK IN THE MIRROR!**



# *Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty*

- **We feel the pain of those police who have been shot or whose colleagues have been killed by violent extremists.**
- **We read/watch the same police training information and videos as you in regards to “sovereign citizens”. See:**
  - **10 tips and tactics for investigating Sovereign Citizens**  
<http://www.policeone.com/investigations/articles/6176998-10-tips-and-tactics-for-investigating-Sovereign-Citizens/>
  - **Sovereign Citizens and Law Enforcement**  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=d\\_y-gLm9Hrw](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=d_y-gLm9Hrw)



# *Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty*

- **The fact of the matter is that:**
  - **People like Jerry Kane who allegedly killed police officers never, to our knowledge, claimed to be a “sovereign citizen”. We have a copy of HIS ENTIRE research hard disk and none of it claims he was a “sovereign citizen”.**
  - **Connecting Jerry Kane with the status of “sovereign citizen” may be a way to focus underserved attention on people such as us who DO NOT support violence against police officers, are not anti-government, and who don’t advocate or condone breaking any law.**
  - **The reason is that we represent a threat by our ability to prove the [government corruption](#) that makes some of what you do a criminal offense. CORRUPT governments ONLY protect themselves, and enslave rather than protect others.**

# *Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty*

- Anyone who shoots policemen is a criminal and ought to go to jail, no matter what they call themselves, including “sovereign citizen”.
- The same thing applies to policemen who stick up people at the point of a gun for refusing to contract with the government to become a statutory “taxpayer” or “resident” called a “driver”. It’s still a “stick-up”.

# *Summary and Conclusions*

- Government workers such as police have been [drinking government Kool-Aide](#) their whole career.
- Most of what police do is lawful insofar as it pertains to enforcing the CRIMINAL laws. We thank God for the things that police do that ARE lawful.
- The unlawful part is documented in this presentation and deals primarily with the [civil/franchise aspects of the vehicle code](#), and the misrepresentation of that portion of the “CODE” as PUBLIC LAW or law for EVERYONE.
- Police for the most part didn’t CREATE the [lawlessness](#) documented here, but they are instruments of it if they don’t question authority.

# *Summary and Conclusions*

- **Isn't it time that we all start:**
  - Reading the law for ourself?
  - Questioning authority?
  - Not allowing CIVIL “public policy” or a “code” that is not law for EVERYONE but rather PRIVATE LAW to be enforced against those who are NOT subject or who do not consent to BE subject? These are the REAL people you are supposed to be defending, RIGHT: the poor, needy, and uninformed?
  - Defending the PEOPLE from a corrupted government that police work for?
  - Taking the police oath seriously to support and defend the Constitution?

## ***Further Information***

The following resources on our website go into much greater detail on the subject of this presentation.

- ***Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments About Sovereignty*, Form #08.018**

DIRECT LINK: <http://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgSovereignty.pdf>

FORMS PAGE: <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

- ***Policy Document: Answers to Press Questions About Sovereignty Advocacy*, Form #08.019**

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

- ***Citizenship and Sovereignty Course*, Form #12.001-  
background on sovereignty and citizenship, but NOT  
“sovereign citizenship”**

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

# Further Information

- **Hot Issues: Right to Travel/Dealing with the Police\***-  
SEDM  
<https://sedm.org/right-to-travel/>
- **Police/Terry Stop Handout**, Form #09.078  
[https://sedm.org/Forms/09-  
Procs/PoliceStopHandout.pdf](https://sedm.org/Forms/09-<br/>Procs/PoliceStopHandout.pdf)
- **Handling a Police Traffic Stop Course**, Form #09.083  
[https://sedm.org/Forms/09-  
Procs/TrafficStopTraining.pdf](https://sedm.org/Forms/09-<br/>Procs/TrafficStopTraining.pdf)
- **Defending Your Right to Travel**, Form #06.010  
<https://sedm.org/ItemInfo/Ebooks/DefYourRightToTravel/DefYourRightToTravel.htm>

# Further Information

- **Secrets Police Don't Want You to Know**- Eddie Craig, former police officer  
<https://youtu.be/7zOqgN65zPM>
- **Why Statutory Civil Law is Law for Government and Not Private Persons**, Form #05.037 – why it is unconstitutional and criminal to compel any civil status against non-consenting parties. This is where the right NOT to be a statutory “citizen” or “resident” is established, even among those born in a country.  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- **Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments Against This Website**, Form #08.011 – additional rebutted false arguments against some but not all alleged beliefs of so-called “sovereign citizens”.  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgAgWebsite.pdf>
- **It's an Illusion** –John Harris. Proves that the present de facto government is an illusion.  
<https://sedm.org/its-an-illusion-a-lecture-in-law-by-john-harris/>

# Further Information

- **Foundations of Freedom**, Form #12.021-introduces equality and sovereignty as the foundation of freedom
  - Video 1: Introduction  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ikf7CcT2I8I>
  - Video 2: Freedom, Sovereignty, and Justice  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ofiwvb\\_sJJI](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ofiwvb_sJJI)
- **Sovereignty Forms and Instructions**, Form #10.005 – detailed forms and instructions to restore the sovereignty EVERYONE was born with  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- **Government Franchises Course**, Form #12.012 – background on franchises. Most of the vehicle code is a civil franchise.  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>



# Further Information

- **Rebutted FALSE Propaganda about Sovereignty:**
  - **Rebutted False Statements About Sovereignty In The News**, Form #08.027-  
rebuttal to new stories about sovereignty advocates  
<https://sedm.org/category/rebutted-false-arguments/rebutted-false-statements-about-sovereignty-in-the-news/>
  - **Rebuttal: Dr. Todd Grande: Sovereign Citizen Movement Mental Health Analysis**  
<https://sedm.org/dr-todd-grande-sovereign-citizen-movement-rebuttal/>
  - **How NOT to Handle a Traffic Stop According to a Government PSYCHOPATH**, SEDM  
<https://sedm.org/how-not-to-handle-a-traffic-stop-according-to-a-government-psychopath/>
- **For an entertaining video on how we tell our members to deal with the police, see:**  
**Dealing with the Police**, Chris Rock  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uj0mtxXEGE8>

# Further Information

- **True LEGAL status of Most Americans: You are Deceived by Government Propaganda**
  - **Proof that American Nationals are Nonresident Aliens**, Form #09.081  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/09-Procs/ProofAnNRA.pdf>
  - **Nonresident Alien Position Course**, Form #12.045-you are a nonresident alien if you want to be  
<https://sedm.org/LibertyU/NRA.pdf>
  - **Non-Resident Non-Person Position**, Form #05.020 – summary of legal obligations of the government in its treatment of “nonresidents”, who are people NOT associated with or consenting under the “social compact”.  
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>