Sovereignty For Police Officers

Form #12.022




2

Sovereignty Education and Defense Ministry (SEDM)

http://sedm.org

January 9, 2024



Course Materials

If you want a copy of this presentation after viewing the course, you can download it from:

- -<u>Liberty University</u>, Section 2.15 http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm
- -<u>SEDM Forms Page</u>, Form #12.022 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

DISCLAIMER

- Information appearing in this presentation is educational in nature
- Everything presented is based on:
 - Thousands of hours of scriptural and legal research
 - Review and use of the resulting research by the over 1 Million people who have visited and are currently using the <u>SEDM Website</u> and <u>Family</u> <u>Guardian Website</u>
 - Continuous feedback from our many readers that have improved the quality of the information over time
- If you find anything inaccurate in this presentation, our <u>Member Agreement</u>, <u>Form #01.001</u> makes it a DUTY of all members to promptly bring the error to our immediate attention with supporting evidence so that we may continually improve our materials. Your evidence must be completely consistent with our presentation below:

<u>Reasonable Belief About Income Tax Liability</u>, Form #05.007 DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/ReasonableBelief.pdf FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- The application of this information to your specific legal circumstances is exclusively your choice and responsibility
- The information presented is copyrighted and subject to the copyright restrictions found at:

http://sedm.org/disclaimer.htm

 Our disclaimer is the SAME disclaimer as the U.S. government uses. See section Internal Revenue Manual, Section 4.10.7.2.8 at:

http://www.irs.gov/irm/part4/ch10s11.html

DISCLAIMER

- THIS NON-COMMERCIAL VIDEO IS PROTECTED BY THE FAIR USE DOCTRINE OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT, 17 U.S.C.
- PLEASE CONSULT OUR D.M.C.A. PAGE IF YOU HAVE COPYRIGHT ISSUES:

http://sedm.org/Ministry/DMCA-Copyright.htm

COURSE OUTLINE


- 1.Introduction
- 2.LIES you are being told about sovereignty advocates
- 3. Our position on "sovereign citizens"
- 4. Police Aren't Required to Protect You
- 5. Sovereignty core beliefs
- 6. People in public service SHARE our goals
- 7. What Sovereignty is NOT
- 8. Misconceptions about Sovereignty Advocates
- 9. Current objections to public service employees

COURSE OUTLINE

- 10. Application: Vehicle Code
- 11. Abuse of the police powers
- 12. Hidden scams behind the vehicle code
- 13. Questions to Ponder on . . .
- 14. Sovereignty and gun control
- 15. Sovereignty and taxation
- 16. Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty
- 17. Summary and Conclusions
- **18.** Further information

Thank you

 Thank you for your oath to uphold the American moral and ethical values.



- This video was prepared to:
 - -Provide an overview of **Sovereignty**.
 - -Dispel government propaganda designed to discredit and misrepresent the issue of Sovereignty.
 - -Raise the awareness to the people in public service about their own Sovereign status.
 - -Educate the people about their proper roles when public service is exercised.
 - -Provide a defense useful in traffic court.

- The Declaration of Independence is the First Organic Law to which all subsequent laws must heed. It establishes <u>CONSENT of the governed</u> as the foundation of ALL <u>JUST</u> government civil authority.
- Public service requires the taking of an oath to uphold the Organic Laws so established, whereby all people hold <u>equal</u> <u>status</u>, with no superior, before stepping down to performing services for others, such as public service.
- Under said First Law, no collective or group of people can lawfully get together and strip anyone of that <u>sovereign</u> <u>equality</u> without his/her <u>consent</u>.
- The <u>Bill of Rights</u> establishes the moral and ethical conditions under which people may lawfully be stripped of their <u>sovereignty</u> and <u>equality</u> as ONLY under common law crimes.

- The <u>First Amendment</u> is FIRST in the <u>Bill of Rights</u> because:
 - It recognizes and protects the ABSOLUTE right of all to CIVILLLY associate and DIS-associate.
 - The FIRST thing you do when forming any group, including a "government" is to give those who are NOT a member of said group the ABSOLUTE RIGHT to NOT join or participate.
 - Those <u>CONSENSUALLY</u> associated are called <u>STATUTORY</u> <u>"citizens" and "residents"</u>.
 - Those NOT <u>CONSENSUALLY associated</u> are called <u>NONRESIDENTS</u> and TRANSIENT FOREIGNERS.
 - If the right to contract means anything at all, it means the right to CONTRACT any and every government OUT of the CIVIL relationship between the parties, and disassociate with any civil statutory status over which the government enjoys jurisdiction.

- The First Ten Amendments (The Bill of Rights) protect all sovereigns from socio-political abuse and unlawful loss of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness (property) unless sovereigns EXPRESSLY, knowingly, and willfully consent to become unequal via a fully disclosed agreement, or are found guilty of a common law crime, by a jury of their peers.
- The Bill of Rights can only be interpreted in harmony with the intent of the writers at a time when ONLY common law existed. Since there were no statutes at that time, then the only crimes at common law would be murder, rape, arson, theft, mayhem, treason, etc.

- To refuse to CIVILLY associate and thereby remain a <u>nonresident</u> is <u>not "lawless" nor does it mean one is an</u> <u>anarchist</u> because those "nonresidents" who don't associate are still subject to:
 - The CRIMINAL law. . . and
 - The COMMON law.
- If it is "<u>anarchistic</u>", then the government itself is an <u>anarchist</u> because it unconstitutionally abuses <u>sovereign immunity</u> to:
 - Pick and choose what laws it wants to be subject to.
 - What laws it is NOT subject to.
 - When it is a "person" under the civil law.

Anyone, including governments, who can do the above is truly <u>"lawless" and an anarchist</u>. More at:

<u>Your Irresponsible, Lawless, and Anarchist Beast Government</u>, Form #05.054 https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/YourIrresponsibleLawlessGov.pdf

If government claims <u>sovereign immunity</u>, then <u>WE as coequals</u> must ALSO have it, because it was delegated from We the People.

LIES You are Being Told by Sovereignty Advocates

- The following documents on our site REBUT fraudulent government propaganda designed to discredit freedom advocates and violently radicalize police against them needlessly:
 - Handling a Police Traffic Stop, Form #09.083
 https://sedm.org/Forms/09-Procs/TrafficStopTraining.pdf
 - <u>Rebutted False Statements About Sovereignty In The News</u>, Form #08.027-rebuttal to new stories about sovereignty advocates

https://sedm.org/category/rebutted-false-arguments/rebutted-false-statements-about-sovereignty-in-the-news/

 <u>Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments About Sovereignty</u>, Form #08.018

DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/08- PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgSovereignty.pdf

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Policy Document: Answers to Press Questions About Sovereignty Advocacy, Form #08.019

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

LIES You are Being Told by Sovereignty Advocates

Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments Against This Website, Form #08.011

https://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgAgWebsite.pdf

- <u>Citizenship and Sovereignty Course</u>, Form #12.001-background on sovereignty and citizenship, but NOT "sovereign citizenship" http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- Government Corruption Opposition Movement (aka Sovereign Citizen Movement in Government circles), Form #08.033 https://sedm.org/Forms/08- PolicyDocs/SovereignCitizenMovement.pdf

Our position on "sovereign citizens"

We are NOT "sovereign citizens".

- Quite frankly, we don't even know what that means.
- There is no objective legal definition for the term either.

The so-called <u>"Sovereign Citizen" community</u>:

- Is often legally ignorant and seeks to be irresponsible and injurious participants in society.
- Acts presumptuously and sometimes unlawfully because of their legal ignorance.
- Does NOT understand the distinction between CIVIL STATUS and POLITICAL STATUS.
- Does not understand that there is NO disability and no CIVIL OBLIGATIONS associated with invoking a political status in court.
- Because of the above, rejects or eschews having EITHER CIVIL STATUS or a POLITICAL STATUS.
- Makes themselves look crazy, lawless, and irrational BECAUSE they do not understand the above.

Our goal is to:

- Legally educate the sovereign citizen community.
- Teach the principles of franchises and citizenship so that they can distinguish between CIVIL STATUS (citizen**+D) and POLITICAL STATUS (citizen*).
- Show them that there are no disabilities to POLITICAL status in either Title 8 of the U.S. Code or the Fourteenth Amendment.
- Teach and advance a theological perspective that promotes personal responsibility and loving your neighbor by never injuring them.
- Avoid CIVIL LEGAL participation in society because it destroys constitutional and private rights.

Our position on "sovereign citizens"

- There is a lot of propaganda about this community.
 - There is no need connect the phrase "sovereign citizen" to this community.
 - Simply calling their unlawful behavior harmful is sufficient and prosecuting it is sufficient.
 - It is a violation of the oath of most public servants to attack the exercise of the First Amendment right of anyone to CIVILLY disassociate with society by associating it with violent or harmful activities so that it can be slandered.
 - The abuse of franchises to enslave people is what this community objects to most.
 Since all franchises are contract, refusing to contract with governments by avoiding government franchises is not harmful or illegal.
- If this community would read and learn the following information, they could lawfully avoid the most important franchises, not subsidize illegal government acts, act responsibly, not violate the law or harm others in doing so:

Foreign Tax Status Information Group (FTSIG) Website https://ftsig.org

More on the above at:

<u>Government Corruption Opposition Movement (aka Sovereign Citizen Movement in government circles)</u>, Form #08.033

https://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/SovereignCitizenMovement.pdf

Police Aren't Required to Protect You

 The so-called purpose of establishing government is to PROTECT and SERVE the public and NOT the "individual":

"..fundamental principle of American law is that a government and its agents are under no general duty to provide public services, such as police protection, to any individual citizen"

[Warren v. District of Columbia, 444 A.2d. .1 (D.C. Ct. of Ap., 1981]

- The basis for paying "taxes" is to fund the institutionalized process of providing protection for club members, such as "citizens" and "residents".
- Those who are members of the club are parties to the "social compact", which is a CIVIL contract or agreement.

Police Aren't Required to Protect You

The above case establishes that:

- The PARTY is the individual within the social compact and NOT the "collective" or group.
- Police have NO RECIPROCAL DUTY under the protection contract to THAT PARTY, and therefore, do NOT render the main consideration under the contract to the individual.
- This converts the CIVIL CONTRACT into a COMPACT, because it is a ONE-sided "benefit". This is because the police have no duty to the individual citizen, and yet the individual citizen is perceived as a party to the social compact. This creates a contradiction.

It is a principle of contract law that all contracts:

- Are UNENFORCEABLE without MUTUAL consideration and MUTUAL obligation.
- If only one party "benefits", then a theft is occurring against the other party, unless the party consented.

Police Over-reach

- Therefore, if police don't have a legally enforceable CIVIL obligation or duty to a SPECIFIC citizen to protect them, then:
 - Where does the MUTUAL obligation arise for the individual citizen to pay for services NOT rendered directly to them in the form of "taxes"?
 - Do we REALLY have a "government" as legally defined, if said government doesn't have to protect you PERSONALLY in exchange for the taxes you pay INDIVIDUALLY?
- This can only mean that:
 - Police officers only operate upon the PUBLIC domain.
 - Police officers have a duty to protect ONLY PUBLIC property and PUBLIC persons, not PRIVATE property or PRIVATE persons.
 - You have to CONVERT (donate) your PRIVATE property to PUBLIC property to even qualify for their services!
- Would you hire a security guard to protect YOUR PRIVATE property under the above circumstances? We wouldn't!

- The essence of sovereignty is that EVERYONE has equal rights, equal dignity, and deserves equal respect and treatment under the law, whether a sovereign or a public servant, regardless of race, creed, nationality, etc...
- The U.S. Supreme Court agreed, when it held:

"No duty rests more imperatively upon the courts than the enforcement of those constitutional provisions intended to secure that equality of rights which is the foundation of free government."

[Gulf, C. & S. F. R. Co. v. Ellis, 165 U.S. 150 (1897)]

• The "free government" in the above U.S. Supreme Court citation reveals that "equality of rights" is the guarantee of "free association" by consent to duty. The Court reinforced that the Declaration of Independence established that all JUST powers derive from the consent of the governed.

- Consent to alternative choices and voluntary free association does not mean "compelled".
 - People freely associate by instituting governments, which are bound to serve ONLY those within said association.
 - The free market is the only moral, ethical, and viable force which can self-regulate toward sustainable economic and socio-political interactions in the Land of The Free.
 - -Public sector associations obey the same rules governing free association. Capitalism works in the private sector, just as well in the public sector!
 - -Any compelled CIVIL association is therefore a violation of equal protection and equal treatment.

- No association of several people is allowed to violate rights of one of the people, and either are equal in authority and rights under the foundational or substantive laws. This is because:
 - In the Land of The Free any one of the people has only the power to self-govern. Said power is the only power that can be delegated at different degrees of <u>association</u> with others.
 - -In our society of equals, with no superior, no one can delegate that which he/she does not have.

- -If one knowingly, willingly, and freely joins CIVIL associations by consenting to a statutory civil status, one acquires only the contractual obligations attached to the particular association. A "foreigner" may get a "driver's license" without the automatic presumption of STATUTORY "citizen" or domicile status.
- -Any other approach leads to <u>idolatry</u> toward <u>government</u> and turns government into an unconstitutional <u>civil religion</u>, in which OBEDIENCE is the worship, <u>FRANCHISES</u> are the bible, and the God being worshipped is <u>civil rulers</u>.

- Like every other type of "business", government delivers a "product" called "protection" and no one can be forced to become a customer for that product.
 - "Customers" are called <u>statutory "citizens" and "residents"</u>.
 - "Non-customers" are called "<u>nonresidents" and "transient foreigners"</u> and may not assume the status of "person" or "individual" under the <u>civil</u> <u>statutory law</u>.
- It is a CRIME and <u>UNCONSTITUTIONAL SLAVERY</u> to compel people to become a "customer" and therefore to become a <u>statutory "citizen" or "resident"</u>.
- The U.S. Supreme Court agrees:

In imposing a tax, says Mr. Chief Justice Marshall, the legislature acts upon its constituents. "All subjects," he adds, "over which the power of a State extends are objects of taxation, but those over which it does not extend are, upon the soundest principles, exempt from taxation. This proposition *334 may almost be pronounced self-evident." McCulloch v. Maryland, 4 Wheat, 316, 428.

[United States v. Erie R. Co., 106 U.S. 327 (1882)]

In Short

- Sovereignty means:
 - -Dignity, respect, and <u>absolute</u> <u>EQUALITY of rights and EQUALITY of</u> <u>treatment</u>.
 - -Only being treated UNEQUALLY when EXPRESS informed consent is demonstrated with evidence.
- Even as a police officer, you cannot escape the sovereign responsibility of self government.

Two Types of Governments

- There are ONLY two types of governments:
 - Those that civilly govern by CONSENT. See:

Requirement for Consent, Form #05.003

http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/Consent.pdf

– Terrorist government. See:

<u>De Facto Government Scam</u>, Form #05.043 http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/DeFactoGov.pdf


- Any attempt to do any of the following is terrorism as legally defined:
 - PRESUME consent to an enforced CIVIL obligation without having to prove it in court. This is a violation of due process.
 - Impose or enforce any civil status or civil obligation upon a non-consenting party.
 - Enforce the civil statutory laws against non-residents.
 - Collect income taxes from non-resident parties.
 - Place conditions upon the acquisition of any government product or service that would compel one to association and assume a <u>specific civil status</u>, such as <u>statutory "citizen" or "resident"</u> or "person". Simply paying directly and immediately for the service ought to be sufficient to receive it. This is "<u>privilege induced slavery</u>".



- Upon taking the oath of public service, sovereigns may exercise specific delegated police powers ONLY for the purpose of protecting the PRIVATE property of those freely associated. Said task is done through:
 - –Legal education.
 - -Law enforcement.
 - -Political activism.
 - -Administrative activism.
 - –Legal activism.
- As police officers, sovereigns must <u>learn the</u>
 <u>law</u> and its relationship to criminal statutes,
 just as <u>our members</u> must also do on a regular basis.

- The only difference between any of the following is <u>consent</u>:
 - -Theft and a donation.
 - -A statutory "citizen" and a nonresident.
 - -A "taxpayer" and a "nontaxpayer".
 - -Rape and consensual sex.
- As public servants, sovereigns preserve their own interest in preventing crime by strictly observing the <u>requirement for consent</u> in all enforcement actions.

- A sovereign's job under oath is <u>JUSTICE</u>.
- JUSTICE requires recognizing all sovereign rights such as:
 - To be left alone, unless accused of a common law crime according to the restrictions promulgated in the Bill of Rights.
 - To be left alone unless one knowingly, willfully, voluntarily, freely, and under full disclosure, consents to civil statutory status such as "driver", "citizen", "resident", etc.. Otherwise, any compelled association would fall under unconstitutional eminent domain and identity theft, where the public rights attached to "civil status" are the property STOLEN.



What Sovereignty is NOT

- True "sovereigns" are NOT:
 - <u>Sovereign citizens</u> (an oxymoron. See <u>Form #08.011, Sections 9.1, 9.2</u>).
 - Elitists (denies First Organic Law of All men are created equal)
 - Super constitutionalists (Constitutions are rules for governments)
 - Terrorists (See Black's Law definition).
 - Violent (sovereignty never advocates the initiation of force)
 - Occupy Movement (sovereigns do not "occupy" themselves)
 - Racists (equality of ALL, not just the white race)
 - . . . or any other stereotype that might connect them to violent, antigovernment, or criminal people or activities.
- Sovereignty in our country is protected by each and every one of us. Slander, labeling, identity politics, or defamation are tools used by those who oppose the rule of law and oppose the equality and dignity of all.

Misconceptions About Sovereignty Advocates

- Sovereigns are NOT elitists, because they promote the <u>EQUALITY of rights</u> under the law, dignity, and respect for ALL, regardless of race, sexual orientation, or <u>nationality</u>, in keeping with all foundational laws of our American Society.
- Sovereigns are NOT anti-government. Since there would be no government unless instituted amongst CONSENTING sovereigns, there is no benefit to any "violent overthrow" of free association.
- Sovereigns are against monopolies on anything, and provide for the enforcement of <u>civil laws</u> against ONLY "club" members. Non-members have to be civilly LEFT ALONE.
- Sovereigns do not deem criminal laws or the common law as "voluntary".

Misconceptions About Sovereignty Advocates

- Sovereigns are not "anarchists". They are civilly governed as co-equals by the <u>common law</u> but not civil statutory franchise "codes". A <u>voluntary civil franchise such as the vehicle code requires consent</u>. Lack of <u>consent</u> is:
 - NOT deemed as "anarchistic".
 - Rather called "freedom of choice" and "freedom of association".
 - Called an exercise of your <u>unalienable right</u> to NOT contract or civilly associate with the government for CIVIL "protection".
 - For details, see:

<u>Problems With Atheistic Anarchism</u>, Form #08.020 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service deceive the public to avoid procuring <u>consent</u>, by arbitrarily decreeing CIVIL "codes" such as <u>franchises</u> as "law" for everyone, or <u>PRESUME</u> a "code" is LAW or PUBLIC LAW, when in fact it is a voluntary franchise, requiring <u>consent</u> that is PRIVATE LAW.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service exercise <u>LOVE OF MONEY</u> to force people into a <u>commercial/tax</u> <u>obligation</u> without their <u>consent</u> to BECOME "<u>taxpayers</u>" or "customers" of a specific service.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service fail to give <u>reasonable notice</u> of the <u>requirement for consent</u> when they make a legal presentment.

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service fail to protect people's right to be LEFT ALONE. Hence <u>equal protection</u> is transgressed AGAINST. "Justice" is legally defined as the "right to be left alone". (See http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/CitesByTopic/justice.htm)
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service enforce an <u>UNEQUAL relationship</u>, and thus violate the Constitution.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service steal by <u>PRESUMING</u> a legal interest of government in ALL property. "Property" is defined as EXCLUSIVE use of a thing and the RIGHT TO EXCLUDE ALL OTHERS from using, controlling, or "benefitting from" the use of a thing. See:
 - <u>Hot Issues: Laws of Property</u>, SEDM <u>https://sedm.org/laws-of-property/</u>
 - <u>Laws of Property</u>, Form #14.018
 https://sedm.org/Forms/14-PropProtection/LawsOfProperty.pdf
 - Separation Between Public and Private Course, Form #12.025 https://sedm.org/LibertyU/SeparatingPublicPrivate.pdf

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service claim <u>sovereign immunity</u> but refuse to recognize the <u>equal right</u> of others to it. Thus, they interfere with EVERY attempt to approach them as an <u>EQUAL</u>, not as a <u>SERVANT</u>.
- Some sovereigns under oath to public service steal by <u>PRESUMING</u> others are party to a contract, such as an <u>"employee" or "public</u> <u>officer"</u> without demonstrating consent to the status. <u>This includes the Internal Revenue</u> <u>Code</u> and the vehicle code.

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service try to insert themselves as a party into every commercial transaction, against the wishes of the parties to said transaction, by PRESUMING that the parties operate under a civil statutory status such as "driver"- which in fact the parties do not have or do not consent to having. This:
 - Reflects an attempt to defraud under color of law.
 - -Is a direct interference with the protected right to contract, for which governments are instituted among men.
 - —Is no different than a "stick up" of a common street criminal.

- Some sovereigns under oath to public service <u>PRESUME</u> consent to additional services although one signed-up for only one specific service. Forms such as driver licenses are <u>presumed</u> to ALSO usually falsely:
 - -Identify a domicile on federal territory.
 - -Identify the applicant as an agent of a particular association or organization. This includes <u>statutory entities</u> such as "<u>citizen</u>", "<u>resident</u>", "driver", "spouse", "<u>taxpayer</u>", etc.

None of the above should be **PRESUMED**, and they should ask whether people want these things and not force them onto the people through deception.

Orwellian Doublethink

- One cannot take a Constitutional oath to support and defend the equality and rights of all and yet:
 - Refuse to recognize the right to disassociate from the "club" as a statutory "person" or "citizen" and to instead be a status of statutory non-citizen national.
 - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to NOT participate in a specific <u>civil franchise</u>.
 - Refuse to recognize and protect the right of sovereigns NOT to procure a specific government service.
 - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to NOT procure any specific <u>civil statutory status</u>, including "driver", "<u>citizen</u>", "resident", etc.
 - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to exclude the government from <u>taxing</u> or benefitting from the use of one's property. "Property", after all, is legally defined as the right to exclude.
 - Refuse to recognize and protect the right to NOT use <u>government</u> <u>identifying numbers</u> in connection with any transaction.

Mafia v. Government?

- Forced "protection" by any association or organization is a racket paid for through "PROTECTION MONEY".
- Likewise, forced "<u>taxation</u>" by any government instituted among men is "PROTECTION MONEY".
- The only lawful governmental PROTECTION is of the People's right to contract or NOT to contract for protection.
- The foundational and substantive laws of the Land of The Free disallow compelled associations such as "doing business", or being a "club member" called a "citizen"/"resident" of a lawful government association.
- No foundational or substantive laws allow the use of <u>Mafia-like techniques</u>, such as threats, force, lies, deception, etc., against those who object or fail to "volunteer" to pay a tribute which is effectively "Protection Money" (i.e. "district" taxes).


Mafia v. Government?

For a complete video on the Government Mafia, see:

https://sedm.org/media/government-mafia/







#	Description	Civil/Franchise Provisions	Criminal Provisions
1	Violations called	"Infractions"	Crimes
2	Type of violation	Malum prohibitum	Malum in se
3	Type of law	PRIVATE law Civil franchise	PUBLIC law
4	Requires consent to enforce?	YES	NO
5	Applicable to:	Those who consent to the civil statutory status of "driver"	All
6	Commercial activity?	Yes	No
7	Origin of authority	Regulation/taxation of use of public roadways for hire	Public protection
8	Violations heard in	"Traffic court"	Criminal court
9	Type of court hearing case	Franchise court	Constitutional court

#	Description	Civil/Franchise Provisions	Criminal Provisions
11	Requires an injured party?	No	Yes
12	Acquires the "force of law" without consent	No	Yes
13	Requires domicile to violate?	Yes	No
14	Jury trial?	No (violates constitution if no consent given)	Yes

How Vehicle Code Violates Equality

- License plates and driver licenses are government property.
- When a police officer stops people, the first thing they ask for is evidence that such property is being "used" in connection with the commercial use of the roadways.
- Only when the license/registration (government property) is CONSENSUALLY so used can it lawfully convey rights to any government.
- Likewise, our time, vehicle, and property are PRIVATE when not so used, and thus we have the <u>EQUAL right</u> to demand that:
 - Government representatives procure our consent to take or use it for THEIR benefit.
 - Government representatives must sign up for OUR license to use said property when it is PRIVATE.
 - The use of said property WITHOUT said license FROM US is EQUALLY a violation of our <u>anti-franchise agreement</u> and a tort.
- A "public officer" is legally defined as someone in charge of public property. Likewise, a police officer using our time, towing our vehicles, or demanding penalties in connection with otherwise PRIVATE property becomes OUR servant instead of the government's. It goes BOTH ways.

How Vehicle Code Violates Equality

- Police officers can be "off duty" when they take off their uniform BUT...
- If we go "off duty" by removing the license plate and not using the driver license because we are NOT using the commercial roadways for hire, we are:
 - Stopped by police for driving without a license plate.
 - Suspected of being criminals.
 - Prosecuted for driving without license and/or registration.
 - Harassed for not having a commercial relationship with the government.
- In other words, the government abuses the <u>vehicle</u> <u>code franchise</u> as a means to compel us to work for them 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, WITHOUT COMPENSATION and we are punished while "OFF DUTY".

Abuse of the Police Powers

- Policemen are supposed to enforce ONLY the criminal laws.
- The vehicle code contains BOTH <u>civil</u> <u>franchise</u> provisions as WELL as criminal provisions.
- Civil franchise provisions of the vehicle code:
 - -Are called "infractions" or "civil infractions".
 - –Are intended primarily to raise revenue.
 - -May only be enforced against those who <u>CONSENT</u> to acquire the civil/franchise status of "driver" AND who ALREADY work for the government as public officers <u>domiciled</u> on federal territory not within any state.

Abuse of the Police Powers

- It is an abuse of the <u>police powers</u> to:
 - -Use policemen as revenue collectors.
 - Incentivize policemen with commissions on each ticket given.
 - -Put a policeman on the stand for a civil infraction matter who has a direct or indirect financial interest in the outcome. This is a breach of public trust and conflict of interest.
 - Arrest people who are not ALREADY public officers for refusing to get a "driver" license.
 - -Give policemen access to any more guns/weaponry than the citizens themselves may obtain. This violates equal protection and equal treatment that is the foundation of the Constitution.

The World According to Chief White Hawk

- No trust White man who love green paper.
- No trust chief in black robe and hammer [judge]. He kidnap many.
- No trust man in black clothes with gun and red light on moving wagon [police]. He tax collector for White chief in black robe and hammer.
- No trust White man in black robe with wooden hammer.
 He lie much.
- No trust White man who change laws all the time.
- No trust White man who pretend "codes" [compacts/consensual franchises] are law for everyone.
- No trust White man that no keep his promise.

The World According to Chief White Hawk

- No trust White man that no respect the Great Creator Spirit.
- No trust White man that do not pray to Great Spirit.
- No trust White man who kill unborn babies with spear.
- No trust White chief who do not read the good Book.
- No trust White man who forsake babies and leave squaw alone.

The World According to Chief White Hawk



- When we want to terminate a driver license, the DMV will say THEY are the only ones who can do it and when THEY terminate it, they will call it a "suspension" and then make us a criminal if they catch you driving without a license from SOME government.
- DMV will misrepresent the civil or penal provisions "code" as law for those who never applied for a license.
- DMV violate the <u>Fifth Amendment</u> right NOT to incriminate oneself by taking biometric data in connection with the license application.

- When we apply for a license, they <u>compel us to be a public officer in the national government</u> by compelling the providing of a <u>Social Security Number</u>. This allows them to:
 - Place any burden or tax on you they want.
 - Kidnap our legal identity and move it to federal territory not protected by the Constitution. See 42 U.S.C. §1301 definitions.
 - Alienate an Unalienable right in violation of the Declaration of Independence.
 - Trade "rights" for "privileges" even though you don't consent. This violates the <u>Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of the U.S. Supreme Court</u>.
 - Convert PRIVATE rights to PUBLIC rights without the consent of the owner.
 - Institute a THEFT and taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment.
 - Work a purpose OPPOSITE of that for which governments are established, which is to protect PRIVATE rights. The first step in that process is to keep PRIVATE rights from being converted to PUBLIC rights without the consent of the owner. Therefore, the DMV is NOT a government agency, but an ANTI-GOVERNMENT agency that does the OPPOSITE of what governments are established to do.

- It's a SCAM to mix CIVIL and CRIMINAL law together in one title of code called the "vehicle code", and refuse to give the public "REASONABLE NOTICE" within each section whether that section is CIVIL or CRIMINAL.
 - It can't be BOTH.
 - Doing so delegates undue discretion to traffic court personnel.
 - Doing so violates the due process of law because it fails to give "<u>reasonable notice</u>" to the public of what is expected of them.
 - Doing so causes the public to falsely believe that the section can be enforced against them WITHOUT their consent.

"It has long been established that a State may not impose a penalty upon those who exercise a right guaranteed by the Constitution." Frost & Frost Trucking Co. v. Railroad Comm'n of California, 271 U.S. 583. "Constitutional rights would be of little value if they could be indirectly denied,' Smith v. Allwright, 321 U.S. 649, 644, or manipulated out of existence,' Gomillion v. Lightfoot, 364 U.S. 339, 345."

[Harman v. Forssenius, 380 U.S. 528 at 540, 85 S.Ct. 1177, 1185 (1965)]

More about DMV/Vehicle Code SCAMS in:

- <u>Police/Terry Stop Handout</u>, Form #09.078
 https://sedm.org/Forms/09-Procs/PoliceStopHandout.pdf
- <u>Defending Your Right to Travel</u>, Form #06.010
 <u>https://sedm.org/ltemInfo/Ebooks/DefYourRightToTravel/DefYourRightToTravel.htm</u>
- <u>Hot Issues: Right to Travel/Dealing with the Police</u>*-SEDM https://sedm.org/right-to-travel/

- The civil provisions of the "code" are a <u>voluntary</u> <u>franchise</u>?
- The "code" is not "law" for EVERYONE because it is a voluntary civil <u>franchise</u>?
- "Traffic court" is a binding arbitration board for people already INSIDE the government as "<u>public</u> <u>officers</u>"?
- "Traffic infractions" do not apply to those who do not consent to acquire the civil status of "driver"?
- It is a crime of THEFT and SLAVERY to FORCE someone to assume the duties and liabilities associated with the civil status of "driver"?

- The "citizen/government" relationship has been replaced with the "employee/employer" relationship? This is called a "de facto government".
- Imputing or enforcing <u>any civil status</u> against a <u>non-consenting party</u> is an unconstitutional taking without compensation (violates Fifth Amendment)?
- Attempts to enforce the "driving without a license" <u>civil provisions of vehicle code</u> are simply a method to recruit people to volunteer to become <u>uncompensated officers of the government</u> without <u>consent</u>, and to do so at the point of a policeman's gun?

WHAT IF . . .

- "<u>Taxes</u>" are really just "protection money" to procure the right to simply be "left alone" (<u>justice</u>) by a group of thugs?
- Police are being brain washed by their employer to enforce a civil religion based on <u>INEQUALITY</u> between the Government and the People?
- Everything in this presentation is TRUE?
- Police raised the information in the presentation with their boss and requested a written, signed response? Do they think they would be terminated, and if so, are they really SERVING AND PROTECTING their own interests as ONE of the People when not in uniform? Those obeying or enforcing the law should have NOTHING to hide and be "beyond reproach", right?

- -Some uniformed thugs are the ones "sticking up" and robbing people at the point of the gun by forcing them to become statutory "driver" franchisees?
- –A white collar boss is the one that orders the violations documented here?
- -Towing or confiscating the unregistered PRIVATE vehicle of an unlicensed PRIVATE party not "driving" is a criminal theft?

- -Traffic court for those who did not consent to become "drivers" constitutes an unconstitutional "bill of attainder"?
- -"Traffic court" is a PRIVATE business not within the government? That is how Black's Law Dictionary describes "franchise courts".
- -Police supervisors are hiding these critical facts from their underlings?
- –Judges are hiding these critical facts from police?

- -Legislators are hiding these critical facts from policemen?
- The TRUTH on these matters are in <u>STATE</u> <u>statutes</u> that we are very deliberately NOT allowed to read and are difficult to find?
- The professors at police criminal justice program are hiding these critical facts from us?

WHAT IF . . .

- Police are chronically and frequently violating their Constitutional oath by NOT knowing these things or taking them seriously? Do policemen EVEN care, or is it ONLY about the money, their pay, and their "benefits"?
- It is a violation of the state Constitution to hear a case in a <u>franchise court</u> called "traffic court" against a party who never <u>consented</u> to acquire a license and who demands a jury trial?

- If indeed the <u>civil provisions of the vehicle code</u> apply to EVERYONE, then why would one have to "apply" (consent) for a "license".
- Who polices the police? Aren't they supposed to police EACH OTHER?
- How can government impose "duties" on <u>nonconsenting parties</u> without instituting involuntary servitude in violation of the <u>13th Amendment</u>?
- Do police blindly follow orders like the Nazis and illegally injure people and violate their oath with impunity by simply doing what they are told?
- Do policemen even have the ability to FINALLY THINK for themself . . . or did PUBLIC/GOVERNMENT school destroy that?

God gave us all a GOOD and incredibly complex brain.

Are we finally going to USE it, or just keep drinking Nazi Kool-Aide?

Why didn't they teach all this in the criminal justice curricula police undergo?

Judge Napolitano

• [Judge Napolitano: Why Taxation is Theft, Abortion is Murder, and Government is Dangerous.

See: https://youtu.be/IA_YI_JCdFg]

Terrorism

- The fact that the people charged with learning and enforcing our laws DON'T ask these questions or try to answer them AT A PERSONAL LEVEL:
 - -Causes the Sovereign People they serve to distrust their government.
 - -Undermines the <u>credibility of the government</u>.
 - Is a common source of <u>fear, terror, and terrorism</u> among the public.
 - Undermines your job of protecting the public health, safety, and morals.

Terrorism

WHO is the real "terrorist"?

government that seeks to rule by intimidation. 3 Violent and unlawful acts of violence committed in an organized attempt to overthrow a government.

[Original (pre-Orwellian) Definition of the Word "Terrorism" Funk and Wagnalls New Practical Standard Dictionary (1946)]

Judge Napolitano

[Do we work for the government, or does the government work for us?

See: http://youtu.be/edNmwmKRLeA]

Sovereignty and gun control

- Sovereigns wrote the <u>Second Amendment</u>. Therefore there is no reason to object to gun control, so long as:
 - The SAME rules apply to police officers.
 - No police officer may have any more weaponry than a law abiding American can have.
 - No member of the military operating within the states may have or acquire any more weaponry than a law abiding American can have.
- The above position is based on the <u>Constitutional</u> requirement for equal protection and equal treatment.
- Any deviation from the above, <u>turns "government" into a civil religion with supernatural or superior powers</u> that then becomes the object of "worship"/obedience compelled at gun point.

Sovereignty and gun control

- At the founding of our republic, SLAVES were the only ones who couldn't own guns. Do police want EVERYONE to be a slave?
- Resources documenting our position on gun control:
 - <u>Gun Control Topic</u>, Family Guardian website
 http://famguardian.org/Subjects/GunControl/GunControl.htm
 - Gun Control and Militia Playlist

http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLin1scINPTOusX8K51ftxzWvTSLX7Wza-

091AN2024

Sovereignty and Taxation

- We are not against paying taxes.
- We don't object to paying for police, jails, courts, and the military. We think that EVERYONE should have to pay for these things and pay the SAME AMOUNT, since all are protected equally.
- We ONLY object to the following methods of taxation:
 - Ability of government to charge people UNEQUALLY for the same service, such as through graduated taxation.
 - No constitutional limits on the percentage of one's earnings paid for either federal or state services.
 - Ability of government to administratively seize property without a court trial to pay for taxes. They ought to have to take us to court to collect, just like we have to do when we want to collect from anyone else. This is a requirement of equal protection and equal treatment.
 - Charging or taxing for services that people DO NOT WANT or DO NOT NEED beyond the basic services above.

- The sovereignty movement is growing fast mainly because of widespread government corruption.
- The sovereignty movement is a DEFENSIVE reaction to:
 - The widespread refusal by <u>corrupted public servants</u> to prosecute THEIR OWN CRIMES.
 - The fact that citizens are forbidden by the courts to prosecute these crimes themselves and the de facto criminals are the ones who refuse to prosecute the crimes.
 - The fact that a <u>corrupted government</u> not only doesn't protect PRIVATE property or PRIVATE rights, but ONLY protects its own THEFT of PRIVATE rights from the public. Thus, we have an ANTI-government rather than a "government", because they do the OPPOSITE to what governments were CREATED to do.

- The fact that public servants refuse to "leave us alone" and terrorize us to subsidize activities and a so-called "government" that we want NO part of and are not a "member of". That's state sponsored terrorism, not "government".
- The fact that those in government fraudulently pretend they are a "government" but refuse to actually ACT like a government. See: <u>De Facto Government Scam</u>, Form #05.043; http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/DeFactoGov.pdf.
- Evidence of this widespread corruption is documented at:

<u>Government Corruption</u>, Form #11.401 http://sedm.org/GovCorruption/GovCorruption.htm

- The quickest way to undermine the growth of the sovereignty movement is to:
 - Prosecute the <u>corruption within the government</u> documented in the above.
 - Directly address the issues raised UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY in the court record if it is in fact wrong, as required by <u>26 U.S.C.</u> §6065.

- Presumptuously (and in violation of due process of law) calling the above evidence either "bogus" or "frivolous" without directly rebutting the above evidence with EQUALLY persuasive evidence only expands the sovereignty movement because:
 - Pursuant to <u>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(b)(6)</u>, a failure to deny constitutes an admission of the facts of evidence no expressly denied.
 - It is a crime of witness tampering to "selectively enforce" against those who expose government corruption in order to silence whistleblowers.
- Calling our research "frivolous" or "bogus" or attempting to civilly penalize us <u>without</u> offering evidence PROVING that assertion from our <u>domicile</u> as required by <u>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17</u>(b) is:
 - A violation of the <u>separation of powers doctrine</u> in which courts are unconstitutionally acting in a <u>political capacity</u> within the Executive Branch.
 - Perpetuates and protects an unconstitutional <u>state-sponsored religion</u> in which government criminals have a de facto unconstitutional "title of nobility" or indemnity from prosecution for their crimes.

 If you as a public servant want to know why the sovereignty movement exists and continues to expand, LOOK IN THE MIRROR!



Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty

- We feel the pain of those police who have been shot or whose colleagues have been killed by violent extremists.
- We read/watch the same police training information and videos as you in regards to "sovereign citizens". See:
 - 10 tips and tactics for investigating Sovereign Citizens
 http://www.policeone.com/investigations/articles/6176998-10-tips-and-tactics-for-investigating-sovereign-Citizens/
 - Sovereign Citizens and Law Enforcement

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=d_y-gLm9Hrw

Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty

• The fact of the matter is that:

- People like Jerry Kane who allegedly killed police officers never, to our knowledge, claimed to be a "sovereign citizen". We have a copy of HIS ENTIRE research hard disk and none of it claims he was a "sovereign citizen".
- -Connecting Jerry Kane with the status of "sovereign citizen" may be a way to focus underserved attention on people such as us who DO NOT support violence against police officers, are not anti-government, and who don't advocate or condone breaking any law.
- -The reason is that we represent a threat by our ability to prove the government corruption that makes some of what you do a criminal offense. CORRUPT governments ONLY protect themselves, and enslave rather than protect others.

Sympathy for people killed in the line of duty

- Anyone who shoots policemen is a criminal and ought to go to jail, no matter what they call themselves, including "sovereign citizen".
- The same thing applies to policemen who stick up people at the point of a gun for refusing to contract with the government to become a statutory "taxpayer" or "resident" called a "driver". It's still a "stick-up".

Summary and Conclusions

- Government workers such as police have been <u>drinking government Kool-Aide</u> their whole career.
- Most of what police do is lawful insofar as it pertains to enforcing the CRIMINAL laws. We thank God for the things that police do that ARE lawful.
- The unlawful part is documented in this presentation and deals primarily with the <u>civil/franchise aspects of</u> <u>the vehicle code</u>, and the misrepresentation of that portion of the "CODE" as PUBLIC LAW or law for EVERYONE.
- Police for the most part didn't CREATE the <u>lawlessness</u> documented here, but they are instruments of it if they don't question authority.

Summary and Conclusions

Isn't it time that we all start:

- -Reading the law for ourself?
- –Questioning authority?
- -Not allowing CIVIL "public policy" or a "code" that is not law for EVERYONE but rather PRIVATE LAW to be enforced against those who are NOT subject or who do not <u>consent</u> to BE subject? These are the REAL people you are supposed to be defending, RIGHT: the poor, needy, and uninformed?
- Defending the PEOPLE from a <u>corrupted government</u> that police work for?
- -Taking the police oath seriously to support and defend the Constitution?

The following resources on our website go into much greater detail on the subject of this presentation.

 Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments About Sovereignty, Form #08.018

DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/08- PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgSovereignty.pdf

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Policy Document: Answers to Press Questions About Sovereignty Advocacy, Form #08.019

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 <u>Citizenship and Sovereignty Course</u>, Form #12.001background on sovereignty and citizenship, but NOT "sovereign citizenship"

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Hot Issues: Right to Travel/Dealing with the Police*-SEDM

https://sedm.org/right-to-travel/

- Police/Terry Stop Handout, Form #09.078 <u>https://sedm.org/Forms/09-</u> <u>Procs/PoliceStopHandout.pdf</u>
- Handling a Police Traffic Stop Course, Form #09.083 <u>https://sedm.org/Forms/09-</u> <u>Procs/TrafficStopTraining.pdf</u>
- <u>Defending Your Right to Travel</u>, Form #06.010 <u>https://sedm.org/ltemInfo/Ebooks/DefYourRightToTrave</u> <u>I/DefYourRightToTravel.htm</u>

<u>Secrets Police Don't Want You to Know</u>- Eddie Craig, former police officer

https://youtu.be/7zOqqN65zPM

Why Statutory Civil Law is Law for Government and Not Private
 <u>Persons</u>, Form #05.037 – why it is unconstitutional and criminal to
 compel any civil status against non-consenting parties. This is where
 the right NOT to be a statutory "citizen" or "resident" is established,
 even among those born in a country.

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

• <u>Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments Against This Website</u>, Form #08.011 – additional rebutted false arguments against some but not all alleged beliefs of so-called "sovereign citizens".

http://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgAgWebsite.pdf

 <u>It's an Illusion</u> –John Harris. Proves that the present de facto government is an illusion.

https://sedm.org/its-an-illusion-a-lecture-in-law-by-john-harris/

- Foundations of Freedom, Form #12.021-introduces equality and sovereignty as the foundation of freedom
 - Video 1: Introduction

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ikf7CcT2l8l

Video 2: Freedom, Sovereignty, and Justice
 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ofiwvb_sJJI

 <u>Sovereignty Forms and Instructions</u>, Form #10.005 – detailed forms and instructions to restore the sovereignty EVERYONE was born with

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Government Franchises Course, Form #12.012 – background on franchises. Most of the vehicle code is a civil franchise.

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- Rebutted FALSE Propaganda about Sovereignty:
 - <u>Rebutted False Statements About Sovereignty In The News</u>, Form #08.027-rebuttal to new stories about sovereignty advocates
 <u>https://sedm.org/category/rebutted-false-arguments/rebutted-false-statements-about-sovereignty-in-the-news/</u>
 - Rebuttal: Dr. Todd Grande: Sovereign Citizen Movement Mental Health <u>Analysis</u> https://sedm.org/dr-todd-grande-sovereign-citizen-movement-rebuttal/
 - How NOT to Handle a Traffic Stop According to a Government
 <u>PSYCHOPATH</u>, SEDM
 https://sedm.org/how-not-to-handle-a-traffic-stop-according-to-a-government-psychopath/
- For an entertaining video on how we tell our members to deal with the police, see:

<u>Dealing with the Police</u>, Chris Rock

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uj0mtxXEGE8

- <u>True LEGAL status of Most Americans: You are Deceived by Government Propaganda</u>
 - Proof that American Nationals are Nonresident Aliens, Form #09.081
 - https://sedm.org/Forms/09-Procs/ProofAnNRA.pdf
 - Nonresident Alien Position Course, Form #12.045-you are a nonresident alien if you want to be https://sedm.org/LibertyU/NRA.pdf
 - Non-Resident Non-Person Position, Form #05.020 summary of legal obligations of the government in its treatment of "nonresidents", who are people NOT associated with or consenting under the "social compact".
 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm